



12 March 2024

Mr Johny Sebastian

BY EMAIL: foi+request-10719-5985c639@righttoknow.org.au

In reply please quote:

FOI Request: FA 23/10/00061-R1

File Number: FA23/10/00061

Dear Mr Sebastian

Decision on Internal Review – Freedom of Information Act 1982

I refer to your correspondence dated 23 October 2023 in which you requested that the Department of Home Affairs (the Department) review its decision on access to documents dated 11 October 2023 under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (the FOI Act).

1 Scope of original request

The scope of your original request for access to documents under the FOI Act was as follows:

Any policy, procedure, guidelines and/or ministerial directives in the year 2023 regarding the general processing (i.e. non-case specific) and decision making of Subclass 309 Partner (Provisional) visa at New Delhi Processing Office

2 Original decision on access dated 11 October 2023

The original decision maker identified the following documents as falling within the scope of the FOI request:

- Procedural Instruction - Subclass 309 Partner Provisional visa (with links to associated legislation / policy) (28/07/20223)
- Direction 102 - Order for considering and disposing of Family visa applications (09/02/2023)
- LIN23/026 - Migration (specification of evidentiary requirements - family violence) Instrument (31/03//2023)

However the original decision maker decided that, pursuant to section 12(1)(b) of the FOI Act, these were documents to which the FOI Act does not apply.

3 Request for internal review

On 23 October 2023, you requested the Department review its decision dated 11 October 2023.

The terms of your review request were as follows:

In the decision letter, it was mentioned that the requested information is available at LEGENDCOM, and I am advised to subscribe to the platform for access. However, I wish to express my concern that my original request may have been misinterpreted, as the information I am seeking is not, to the best of my knowledge, available at LEGENDCOM.

I would like to bring to your attention that the Department has accommodated similar requests in the past, providing the requested information on the following occasions:

24 July 2019 - FA 19/03/0064213

July 2021 - FA 21/02/01000

In light of this, I kindly request the Department to conduct a thorough internal review of my case and reconsider the decision.

I believe that the information sought is within the scope of the FOI Act 1982, and I trust that the Department will uphold its commitment to transparency.

4 Scope of internal review

Based on the terms of your internal review in paragraph 3 above, I consider you are seeking review of:

- the Department's decision that the FOI Act does not apply to the documents within the scope of the request
- the adequacy of the searches conducted by the Department.

5 Authority to make decision

I am authorised under section 23 the FOI Act to make decisions to release and to refuse access to exempt documents and to conduct internal reviews.

6 Relevant material

In reaching my decision I referred to the following:

- the terms of your request
- the submission made by you in support of your request for internal review
- the documents identified as falling within the scope of your request
- information held on departmental systems
- the Freedom of Information Act 1982 (the FOI Act)
- Guidelines published by the Office of the Information Commissioner under section 93A of the FOI Act (the FOI guidelines)

- advice from Departmental officers with responsibility for matters relating to the documents to which you sought access.

7 Internal review decision

I have decided to vary the Departments original decision and replace it with the following decision:

- That seventeen (17) additional documents fall within the scope of your request, and in relation to these documents:
 - to release twelve documents in part, with exempt and irrelevant material deleted
 - to release two documents in part, with exempt material deleted
 - to release three documents in full, without deletions
 - to rely upon sections 37(2)(b) and 47E(d) of the FOI Act in finding material in the documents to be exempt
 - to rely upon section 22 of the FOI Act in granting access to edited copies, from which irrelevant material has been deleted
- in relation to the three (3) documents identified as falling within the scope of the request in the original decision:
 - section 12(1)(b) does not apply to the documents
 - section 12(1)(c) does apply to the documents
 - accordingly, these documents are documents to which the FOI Act does not apply and the Departments decision to refuse access is affirmed.

Attachment A is a schedule which describes the relevant documents and sets out my decision in relation to each of them.

I have provided the reasons for my decision below.

8 Reasons for internal review decision: documents within scope

I have reviewed the documents that fall within the scope of this request and considered the submissions made by you in relation to your reasons for requesting an internal review.

I have determined that further reasonable searches for documents could be undertaken by the Department. The Department has undertaken additional reasonable searches for documents within the scope of your request.

As a result of these searches, the Department has identified 34 additional documents as falling within the scope of your request. These documents are listed in the schedule of documents at Attachment A.

9 Reasons for internal review decision: whether reasonable searches conducted

Section 24A of the FOI Act provides that the Department must take all reasonable steps to locate documents within the scope of the request. I have accordingly given consideration to the searches undertaken by the Department in response to your original request and request for internal review.

Searches for documents in response to original request

Searches for documents in response to the original request were undertaken by the business area responsible for the matters contained in the documents to which you sought access, the Family Visas Branch of the Immigration Programs Division.

The relevant business area advised that the documents identified in paragraph 2 above were within the scope of the request, but noted that these documents are available on LEGEND, the Department's electronic database of migration and citizenship legislation and policy documents.

Searches for documents in response to internal review request

In response to your request for internal review, I requested that the Family Visas Branch consider your internal review submission that additional documents were located for requests of a similar nature and that they conduct additional searches to identify any further documents falling within the scope of your current request.

These searches involved:

- searches of email accounts maintained by operational areas, including email correspondence with the global processing network
- searches for emails, guidelines and other procedural documents held on HP Content Manager (TRIM), the department's electronic document and records management system
- searches for guidelines and other procedural documents stored on local shared drives maintained by the business area
- enquiries to the Department's New Delhi processing office to determine whether any relevant documents existed specific to that processing office, including any document held on local drives.

Outcomes of searches

The Family Visas Branch advised that, in addition to the documents available on LEGENDcom, they had located a further seventeen (17) documents within the scope of the request. These documents consist of:

- guidelines/instructions for processing subclass 309 visa applications (6 documents)
- internal emails referring to the processing of subclass 309 visa applications (11 documents)

Whether all reasonable steps taken to locate documents

Paragraph 3.88 of the FOI Guidelines notes that the Act is silent on what constitutes 'all reasonable steps' to search for a document, but suggests that the term should be:

'construed as not going beyond the limit assigned by reason, not extravagant or excessive, moderate and of such as amount, size or number as is judged to be appropriate or suitable to the circumstances or purpose.'

Paragraph 3.89 of the FOI Guidelines further provides that, at a minimum, an agency's searches for documents should have regard to:

- the subject matter of the documents
- the current and past file management systems
- the record management systems in place
- the individuals within an agency or minister's office who may be able to assist with the location of documents, and
- the age of the documents.

Having considered the searches conducted and the outcomes of these searches, I am satisfied that the Department has now undertaken all reasonable steps to locate documents within the scope of your request. In making that finding, I have had regard to the following:

- Should relevant documents exist, they would be held on HP Content Manager, local drives or in email accounts and be identifiable by searches of these systems. Searches of these systems were conducted by Family Visa Branch and resulted in the identification of a number of additional relevant documents, as detailed above.
- The business area consulted, the Family Visa Branch, has overall responsibility for the Global processing of Partner Visa applications and was best placed to provide advice on the existence of documents and conduct searches for relevant documents.
- Additionally the Department visa processing office in New Delhi have confirmed that there are no additional local instructions or guidelines (formal or informal, including emails) available to staff at the New Delhi processing office, and that instructions and guidelines apply across the entire global processing network.

I am therefore satisfied that the Department has taken all the steps to locate all documents that fall within the scope of your request that it was required to undertake under section 24A of the FOI Act.

10 Reasons for decision: documents to which the FOI Act does not apply

10.1 Section 12(1)(b) of the FOI Act – documents open to access as part of public register

Section 12(1)(b) of the FOI Act provides that a person is not entitled to obtain access under the FOI Act to a document that is open to public access, as part of a public register or otherwise, in accordance with an enactment or a Norfolk Island law, where that access is subject to a fee or other charge.

The documents identified as falling within the scope of the request in the original decision are published on LEGEND, the Department's electronic database of migration and citizenship legislation and policy documents. As stated in the original decision, the Department makes access to LEGEND available to members of the public on a subscription basis (known as LEGENDcom) as well as for non-commercial use through libraries that subscribe to LEGENDcom through the library deposit and free issue scheme.

I have considered whether LEGEND can be considered to be open to public access as part of a public register in accordance with an enactment. I consider that, for section 12(1)(b) to apply, there must be an enactment other than the FOI Act that governs how a person may access the information. I do not consider that the access to LEGEND that is provided through LEGENDcom has been provided in accordance with any enactment.

I further consider that, while the term 'public register' is not defined in the FOI Act, paragraph 2.60 of the FOI Guidelines notes that examples of a public register include a register of births, deaths and marriages and a consumer protection register. I do not consider that LEGENDcom is equivalent to a public register.

Based on the above considerations, I have determined that section 12(1)(b) of the FOI Act does not apply to the documents available on LEGEND.

10.2 Section 12(1)(c) of the FOI Act – documents available for purchase by the public in accordance with arrangement made by an agency

Section 12(1)(c) of the FOI Act further provides that the FOI Act does not apply to documents that are available for purchase by the public in accordance with arrangements made by an agency.

I am satisfied that the documents identified in paragraph 2 above are available on LEGEND, and can be accessed by the public through a purchased subscription to LEGENDcom. Accordingly, they are documents available for purchase by the public in accordance with the arrangements provided through LEGENDcom.

I further consider that the objects of the FOI Act apply only to documents to which the FOI Act applies. As noted by the Senior Member in [Lester and Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation \[2014\] AATA 646 \(5 September 2014\)](#), it is the intention of section 12(1) of the FOI Act to exclude from the operation of the FOI Act those documents that are available via other means. As stated in the discussion regarding section 12(1)(c) of the FOI Act that appears in Explanatory Memorandum to the 1981 Freedom of Information Bill:

Part 3 does not give a right of access to documents which are otherwise available to the public. This sub clause specifies three main classes of such documents: ...

The third class comprises documents that are otherwise available for purchase by the public, for example, from the Australian Government Publishing Service.

The rationale of this clause is that, where statutory provisions or other arrangements exist that provide from the means of access to particular documents, it would be inappropriate for access to be granted under the Freedom of information legislation rather than under those statutes or arrangements.

I have considered your claims that the Department "accommodated similar requests in the past" in responding to requests FA 21/02/01000 and FA 19/03/00642. However, I note that only the documents in scope for FA 21/02/01000 included documents available on LEGEND, and the Department also did not release these documents in responding to that request.

As the FOI Act does not apply to documents that are available for purchase in accordance with arrangements made by an agency I am satisfied that the documents identified in paragraph 2 are documents to which the FOI Act does not apply, by the operation of section 12(1)(c) of the FOI Act.

11 Reasons for decision – irrelevant material

11.1 Section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the FOI Act – information irrelevant to request for access

Section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the FOI Act applies if an agency or Minister decides that giving access to a document would disclose information that would reasonably be regarded as irrelevant to the request for access.

I have decided that parts of the documents contain information that could reasonably be regarded as irrelevant to your request.

The material in question reveals the names and contact details of departmental officers.

On 3 October 2023, the Department advised you that its policy is to exclude the personal details of officers not in the Senior Executive Service (SES), as well as the mobile and work telephone numbers of SES staff, contained in documents that fall within scope of an FOI request.

The Department also advised you at this time that if you did not inform the Department that you sought access to this material, it would consider the information containing the officers' personal details to be irrelevant to your request.

Following the receipt of the acknowledgement letter, you did not advise the Department that you sought access to the officers' personal details, and you also did not specifically indicate in making your request for an internal review that you required access to this material. I have consequently determined that this material remains irrelevant to your request under section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the FOI Act.

The remainder of the documents have been considered for release to you as they are relevant to your request.

12 Reasons for decision – exemptions

12.1 Section 37(2)(b) of the FOI Act – documents affecting enforcement of law and protection of public safety – prejudice to lawful methods and procedures

Section 37(2)(b) of the FOI Act provides that a document is exempt from disclosure if its disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to disclose lawful methods or procedures for preventing, detecting, investigating, or dealing with matters arising out of breaches or evasions of the law the disclosure of which would or could reasonably likely to, prejudice the effectiveness of those methods or procedures.

The Department plays a leading role in assessing risks to Australia's border and is responsible for managing Australia's visa program under the *Migration Act 1958* (the Migration Act). The Department undertakes this role in close collaboration with other government agencies, including the Australian Border Force and other federal law enforcement agencies. As such, the Department's role includes law enforcement functions.

I consider that the disclosure of material contained in documents numbered 3, 4, and 6 would, or could reasonably be expected to reveal lawful methods or procedures for preventing or detecting breaches or evasions of the Migration Act. Disclosure would, or would reasonably likely to, prejudice the effectiveness of those methods or procedures.

The material to which I have applied this exemption indicates methods used by the Department to identify and mitigate possible breaches of the Migration Act, including:

- the use of the CMAL system to provide an alert capacity for individuals and travel documents
- the use of safeguards systems to flag decision makers' attention towards risks that may be posed by a party involved with an application
- the use of interviews to establish the veracity of responses provided by visa applicants and other relevant parties.

The material in question provides specific details of how the Department applies the methods in question, including:

- examples of when CMAL will generate an alert
- how the safeguards system categorises applications based on the risks posed by particular applications
- the factors associated with particular safeguards profiles
- examples of questions to be used in interviews.

I consider that the disclosure of this information would provide potential visa applicants with an indication of how they can tailor their applications to avoid their categorisation as higher-risk applications and to evade the additional scrutiny applied by the Department to such applications. The disclosure of the material would also enable interview subjects to prepare responses to interview questions that would increase their likelihood of their relationship being assessed as genuine.

The disclosure of this material would be reasonably likely to compromise the Department's capacity to use these methods to detect non-genuine visa applications and mitigate potential breaches of the Migration Act. Disclosure would therefore reduce the ability of the Department to maintain the integrity of Australia's visa program and in turn to protect the borders of Australia.

I have decided that this information is exempt from disclosure under section 37(2)(b) of the FOI Act.

13 Reasons for decision – public interest conditional exemptions

13.1 Section 47E(d) of the FOI Act – certain operations of agencies – substantial adverse effect on agency operations

Section 47E(d) of the FOI Act provides that documents are conditionally exempt if disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to, have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of an agency.

I consider that the disclosure of the material identified as exempt under section 47E(d)' would, or could reasonably be expected to, have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of the Department.

Managing the security and integrity of Australia's borders is integral to the operations of the Department. Any prejudice to the effectiveness to operations to support that role would result in a substantial adverse effect on the operations of the Department.

Material in relation to processing visa applications

Certain material identified as conditionally exempt under 47E(d) of the FOI Act in documents 1,3 and 4 describes procedures used by the Department to process family visa applications.

Specifically, certain material reveals methods used by the Department to categorise and process particular visa applications and reveals guidance given to visa decision makers about assessing particular categories of visa applications. I consider that this information, taken together, would compromise the integrity of the Department's family visa program by revealing circumstances in which the Department will conduct additional checks in relation to particular applications, as well as disclosing processes used by the Department to determine whether cases will be subject to additional scrutiny. I consider the disclosure of this material would provide visa applicants with an insight into how they can evade the Department's methods,

which would reduce the capacity of the Department to detect fraud or other instances of non-compliance.

Additionally certain material has been exempted that is intended as guidance from the senior leadership group in relation to program outcomes. Disclosure of this material has the potential to be used in unintended ways. Any misuse of this information could undermine the intended outcomes, or increase the risk that the intended outcomes for the family visa program are not achieved. Failure to meet the strategic outcomes would have a substantial adverse effect on the Departments ability to effectively manage the Government's Permanent Migration Program,

Operational email addresses

Certain material identified as exempt under section 47E(d) consists of operational email addresses used by this Department. These email addresses are not otherwise publicly available, and disclosure of this information could reasonably be expected to result in potential vexatious communication and public inquiries which these operational areas are not resourced to manage.

The Department has established channels of communication for members of the public into the Department, and I consider there is no public interest in disclosing these operational contact details. Given the operational focus of those business areas, such a diversion of the resources of that business area could reasonably be expected to have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of this Department and its partner agencies.

On-line link to internal Departmental system.

Certain material identified as exempt under 47E(d) refers to the on-line location of the Departments visa management dashboard. This is a restricted internal system that provides management reports on visa processing.

Disclosure of this information may expose the system and the wider departmental network to the possibility of unauthorised access by nefarious actors. Any unauthorised access would have a substantial adverse effect on the operations of the Department by compromising the integrity of the system and information held within it.

Any risk to the integrity of the information held securely on the system would significantly compromise the capacity of the Departmental staff to manage the visa program and would also risk the confidentiality of personal information held on these system, which would result in a substantial adverse effect on the operations of the Department.

I have decided that the material within the documents identified is exempt under section 47E(d) of the FOI Act. Access to a conditionally exempt document must generally be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest to do so. I have turned my mind to whether disclosure of the information would be contrary to the public interest, and have included my reasoning in that regard at paragraph below.

13.2 The public interest – section 11A of the FOI Act

A part of a document which is conditionally exempt must also meet the public interest test in section 11A(5) before an exemption may be claimed in respect of that part.

In summary, the test is whether access to the conditionally exempt part of the document would be, on balance, contrary to the public interest.

In applying this test, I have noted the objects of the FOI Act and the importance of the other factors listed in section 11B(3) of the FOI Act, being whether access to the document would do any of the following:

- (a) *promote the objects of this Act (including all the matters set out in sections 3 and 3A)*
- (b) *inform debate on a matter of public importance*
- (c) *promote effective oversight of public expenditure*
- (d) *allow a person to access his or her own personal information.*

Having regard to the above I am satisfied that:

- Access to the documents would promote the objects of the FOI Act.
- There is a public interest in the public, including individual visa applicants, being able to scrutinise the migration program as a whole. Scrutiny gives a basis for insight into the Government's activities and so for discussion, comment and review.
- The documents provide no insight in the public expenditure by the Department.
- The documents in question do not contain your own personal information.

I have also considered the following factors that weigh against the release of the conditionally exempt information in the documents:

- Disclosure of the parts of the documents that are conditionally exempt under section 47E(d) of the FOI Act could reasonably be expected to prejudice law enforcement functions and, as a result, the ability of the Department to protect Australia's borders.
- I consider there to be a strong public interest in ensuring that the ability of the Department to conduct its law enforcement functions is not compromised or prejudiced in any way. I consider that this would be contrary to the public interest and that this factor weighs strongly against disclosure.
- I consider there to be a strong public interest in the Department being able to effectively the Permanent Migration Program and the release of any material which could undermine the attainment of the strategic outcomes is contrary to the public interest.
- The conditionally exempt information in relation to the Departments IT systems is of value to individuals or organisations who may be interested in crafting a hostile cyber security attack on the IT systems of the Department. I consider that this would be contrary to the public interest and that this factor weighs strongly against disclosure.
- Disclosure of the operational email addresses which are conditionally exempt under section 47E(d) of the FOI Act would have a substantial adverse effect on the ability of the relevant operational areas to conduct their business as usual. The Department has established avenues in place for members of the public to contact when they have queries, complaints or comments. I consider that there is a strong public interest in ensuring public feedback is filtered through these available channels so that operational areas within the Department are able to carry out their functions in an effective matter. I consider that this would be contrary to the public interest and that this factor weighs strongly against disclosure of the exempt information.

I have also had regard to section 11B(4) which sets out the factors which are irrelevant to my decision, which are:

- a) *access to the document could result in embarrassment to the Commonwealth Government, or cause a loss of confidence in the Commonwealth Government*
- b) *access to the document could result in any person misinterpreting or misunderstanding the document*
- c) *the author of the document was (or is) of high seniority in the agency to which the request for access to the document was made*
- d) *access to the document could result in confusion or unnecessary debate.*

I have not taken into account any of those factors in this decision.

Upon balancing all of the above relevant public interest considerations, I have concluded that the disclosure of the conditionally exempt information in the documents would be contrary to the public interest and it is therefore exempt from disclosure under the FOI Act.

14 Reasons for decision: access to edited copies

14.1 Section 22(2) – access to edited copies

Section 22(2) of the FOI Act provides that an agency must provide access to an edited copy of a document when:

- the agency has identified that a document is exempt or contains exempt or irrelevant material, and
- it is possible for the agency to prepare an edited copy of the document from which it has deleted the exempt or irrelevant material.

As I have indicated above, certain documents identified within the scope of the request contain exempt and irrelevant material.

I have determined that it is reasonably practicable to prepare edited copies of the documents indicated in the schedule of documents, and accordingly have issued you with edited copies of these documents pursuant to section 22(2) of the FOI Act.

15 Legislation

A copy of the FOI Act is available at <https://www.legislation.gov.au/Series/C2004A02562>.

16 Your review rights

Information Commissioner Review

You may apply directly to the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner (OAIC) for an Information Commissioner review of this decision. You must apply in writing within 60 days of this notice. For further information about review rights and how to submit a request for a review to the OAIC, please see <https://www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/your-freedom-of-information-rights/freedom-of-information-reviews/information-commissioner-review>.

17 Making a complaint

You may complain to the Information Commissioner about action taken by the Department in relation to your request.

Your enquiries to the Information Commissioner can be directed to:

Phone 1300 363 992 (local call charge)

Email enquiries@oaic.gov.au

There is no particular form required to make a complaint to the Information Commissioner. The request should be in writing and should set out the grounds on which it is considered that the action taken in relation to the request should be investigated and identify the Department of Home Affairs as the relevant agency.

18 Contact

Should you wish to discuss my decision, please do not hesitate to contact via email at foi.reviews@homeaffairs.gov.au.

electronically signed

Matthew Noble

Position number 60007430 - Authorised Decision Maker

Department of Home Affairs

ATTACHMENT A

**SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS
REQUEST UNDER *FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982***

FOI request: FA23/10/00061 R1

File Number: FA23/10/00061

- **Guidelines and Instructions (6 documents)**

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
1	1 - 19	Guidelines for prioritising partner visa applications on compassionate and compelling grounds.	released in full	

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
2	10 - 11	Helpcard 2 (subclass309/100)	released in full	

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
3	12 - 45	Subclass 309 - Partner Provisional Assessment - Visa Processing Guide	released with exempt material deleted	37(2)(b) 47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
4	46 - 47	Initial Triage Process	released with exempt material deleted	37(2)(b) 47E(d)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
5	48 - 98	Offshore Partner Visa Training	released in full	

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
6	99	Instruction Reg1.20KB offences	released with exempt material deleted	37(2)(b) 47E(d)

- **Miscellaneous Internal emails (11 documents)**

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
7	1	Regional weekly update-11 May 2023	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
8	2 - 3	South East Asia Targets	released with exempt and Irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
9	4	Regional Weekly Update - 25 May 2023	released with exempt and Irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
10	5	Re: SEA targets	released with exempt and Irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
11	6	CM: SEA Targets	released with exempt and Irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
12	7 - 8	CM: Re SEA Targets	released with exempt and Irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
13	9 - 11	First Stage Partner Visa - revised Targets	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
14	12 - 14	Re Partner Program Update	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
15	15 - 16	Re: South Asia Region - Partner Targets	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
16	17	South Asian Region - Partner Targets 2023 - 24	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
17	18 - 19	Re: South Asia Region - Partner Targets	released with exempt and irrelevant material deleted	47E(d) 22(1)(a)(ii)

- Documents available via LEGENDcom (3 documents)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
18	-	Procedural Instruction - Subclass 309 Partner Provisional visa (with links to associated legislation / policy)	access refused	12(1)(c)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
19	-	Direction 102 - Order for considering and disposing of Family visa applications	access refused	12(1)(c)

No.	Page Number	Description	Decision on release	
20	-	LIN23/026 - Migration - specification of evidentiary requirements - family violence Instrument	access refused	12(1)(c)