

Our ref: 2016-100176

18 April 2016

By email to: foi+request-1777-4b6df307@righttoknow.org.au

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to advise you of my decision following your request for internal review of Gregory Parkhurst's decision in response to your request of 31 March 2016 under the *Freedom of Information Act 1989* (the FOI Act).

Summary

I am authorised under s 22 of the FOI Act to make decisions in relation to FOI requests.

Your request was framed in the following way:

'...People would like copies of video tape recordings manufactured by police officers who were making denigrating jokes during the non-recorded periods, you should know this by the shaking video footage and if you have a mobile phone im sure it could perhaps pick-up the smothered laugh breathing sounds even from VHS.

Do not use the excuse - we lost it, that is not acceptable and you can simply release everyone convicted if your going to keep up with that excuse.

Sex related crimes do not have a time limit. Please provide the video recordings that are simply an example of how police perform acts that endanger the health and life of others

Yours faithfully,

Respondent to DVO issued after 3rd party that with intent falsely claimed to represent myself whilst committing the criminal act of threatening to KILL another person - a term of 10 years punishment I think; onto the respondent.

Dirt file victim...'

On 12 April 2016, Mr Parkhurst advised you of his decision to refuse your request. You asked for internal review of that decision on the same day.

In reviewing our earlier decision, I considered our previous searches for documents falling within the scope of your request, your request for review of Mr Parkhurst's decision, and the FOI Act.

Decision and reasons for decision

We conducted another search of our records for any documents that would meet the description set out in your email of 31 March 2016. Our further search did not identify any documents falling within the scope of your request. For this reason, I affirm Mr Parkhurst's decision to refuse your request on the grounds that the documents you requested either do not exist or cannot be found.

Your review rights

If you are dissatisfied with my decision, you may apply to the ACT Civil and Administrative Tribunal (ACAT) for review. An application for review by ACAT must be made in writing within 28 days after the date of this letter, and be lodged in one of the following ways:

online:	http://www.acat.act.gov.au/administrative_review/applicationsforms
email:	tribunal@act.gov.au
post:	GPO Box 578 Civic Square ACT 2608
in person:	ACT Health Building, Level 4, 1 Moore Street, Canberra City ACT

More information about ACAT review is available on the ACAT website. Go to http://www.acat.act.gov.au/administrative_review/administrative_review

Questions about this decision

If you wish to discuss this decision, you may contact me using the contact information at the top of this letter.

Yours sincerely



Shirley Tong
A/g Director
Operations North