

ADRVP DECISION

Possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation - Finding in the matter of NATHAN LOVETT-MURRAY - AFL (Case 2014/325)

After reviewing the relevant evidence and information relating to matter 2014/325, please indicate whether you are satisfied that the:

- participant was provided with a notification in accordance with clause 4.07A of the NAD scheme;
- response period has ended; and
- participant did not provide a submission.

YES / NO (please circle)


Having reviewed the relevant evidence and information do you make a decision that there is a possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation committed by Mr Lovett-Murray of Use of a Prohibited Substance, namely Thymosin Beta 4?

YES / NO (please circle)

Do you wish to make a decision that the possible violation referred to above should be entered onto the Register of Findings (including those details as prescribed by clause 4.10 of the NAD scheme)?

YES / NO (please circle)

Signature:



Printed Name:

DIANA ROBINSON

Date:

3 / 11 / 2014

ADRVP DECISION

Possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation - Finding in the matter of NATHAN LOVETT-MURRAY - AFL (Case 2014/325)

After reviewing the relevant evidence and information relating to matter 2014/325, please indicate whether you are satisfied that the:

- participant was provided with a notification in accordance with clause 4.07A of the NAD scheme;
- response period has ended; and
- participant did not provide a submission.

YES / NO (please circle)

Having reviewed the relevant evidence and information do you make a decision that there is a possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation committed by Mr Lovett-Murray of Use of a Prohibited Substance, namely Thymosin Beta 4?

YES / NO (please circle)

Do you wish to make a decision that the possible violation referred to above should be entered onto the Register of Findings (including those details as prescribed by clause 4.10 of the NAD scheme)?

YES / NO (please circle)

Signature: Hayden Opie
Printed Name: Hayden Opie
Date: 3/11/2014

ADRVP DECISION

Possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation - Finding in the matter of NATHAN LOVETT-MURRAY - AFL (Case 2014/325)

After reviewing the relevant evidence and information relating to matter 2014/325, please indicate whether you are satisfied that the:

- participant was provided with a notification in accordance with clause 4.07A of the NAD scheme;
- response period has ended; and
- participant did not provide a submission.

YES / NO (please circle)

Having reviewed the relevant evidence and information do you make a decision that there is a possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation committed by Mr Lovett-Murray of Use of a Prohibited Substance, namely Thymosin Beta 4?

YES / NO (please circle)

Do you wish to make a decision that the possible violation referred to above should be entered onto the Register of Findings (including those details as prescribed by clause 4.10 of the NAD scheme)?

YES / NO (please circle)

Signature: 

Printed Name: STUART THORN

Date: 3/11/2014

ADRVP DECISION

Possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation - Finding in the matter of NATHAN LOVETT-MURRAY - AFL (Case 2014/325)

After reviewing the relevant evidence and information relating to matter 2014/325, please indicate whether you are satisfied that the:

- participant was provided with a notification in accordance with clause 4.07A of the NAD scheme;
- response period has ended; and
- participant did not provide a submission.

YES / NO (please circle)


Having reviewed the relevant evidence and information do you make a decision that there is a possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation committed by Mr Lovett-Murray of Use of a Prohibited Substance, namely Thymosin Beta 4?

YES / NO (please circle)

Do you wish to make a decision that the possible violation referred to above should be entered onto the Register of Findings (including those details as prescribed by clause 4.10 of the NAD scheme)?

YES / NO (please circle)

Signature:



Printed Name:

PAUL CAREY

Date:

3/11/2014

ADRVP DECISION

Possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation - Finding in the matter of NATHAN LOVETT-MURRAY - AFL (Case 2014/325)

After reviewing the relevant evidence and information relating to matter 2014/325, please indicate whether you are satisfied that the:

- participant was provided with a notification in accordance with clause 4.07A of the NAD scheme;
- response period has ended; and
- participant did not provide a submission.


YES / NO (please circle)

Having reviewed the relevant evidence and information do you make a decision that there is a possible non-presence anti-doping rule violation committed by Mr Lovett-Murray of Use of a Prohibited Substance, namely Thymosin Beta 4?

YES / NO (please circle)

Do you wish to make a decision that the possible violation referred to above should be entered onto the Register of Findings (including those details as prescribed by clause 4.10 of the NAD scheme)?

YES / NO (please circle)

Signature: 

Printed Name: Andrew McLachlan

Date: 3/11/2014