



**Notice of Decision under  
The Freedom of Information Act 1982 (the FOI Act)**

Applicant: Dan Monceaux

Decision Maker: Mr Steven McIntosh  
Senior Manager, Government and International Affairs

Date of Decision: 28 October 2016

Organisation: Australian Nuclear Science and Technology Organisation

This is my decision and the reasons for my decision in relation to the request made by Dan Monceaux (the applicant) to ANSTO on 18 August 2016 seeking access to the following documents under the FOI Act:

*All written and email correspondence and documentation relating to the documentary TV series: Uranium - Twisting the Dragon's Tail, sent or received by ANSTO and its staff prior to, during the series' development and following its premier broadcast on SBS. The series was written by Wain Fimeri, was produced by Gene Pool Productions and first aired on SBS in 2015.*

I am authorised under section 23 of the FOI Act to make a decision.

**DECISION**

I note that ANSTO was granted an extension of time by the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner under section 15AB of the FOI Act to 28 October 2016 to finalise this decision.

I have identified 88 documents relevant to the applicant's request. I have decided:

- to release 9 documents in full;
- to release 69 documents in part; and
- to withhold release of 10 documents pending the outcome of a third party review process.

I have included as Attachment A a schedule that describes the documents identified as falling within the request, the decision on the release and the exemption claimed.

I note that section 22 of the FOI Act provides that where a document contains some exempt material and some non-exempt material, or contains material which is outside the scope of the request, an edited copy of the document (i.e. with redactions to the exempt material) should be released if it is practicable to do so.

The page numbers are found on the top centre of the documents and the volume number is found on the bottom right corner.

The release in full and the release in part documents will be provided following payment of the outstanding charge of \$139.00. The time spent for search and retrieval, preparing the

decision/schedule and redacting the documents was in excess of 25 hours, however, ANSTO is unable to charge an amount higher than the estimate unless all documents are released in full.

The withheld documents will be provided at the expiration of the third party review rights. The time period for third party review will depend on whether the third party seeks a review.

Attachment B sets out your review rights.

## **REASONS FOR DECISION**

### ***Material taken into account***

In making my decision, I had regard to the following:

- The terms of the applicant's request dated 18 August 2016;
- The documents to which the applicant sought access;
- Advice from ANSTO officers with responsibility for matters relating to the documents to which the applicant sought access;
- The relevant provisions of the FOI Act; and
- The Government's FOI Guidelines produced by the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner.

### ***Locating and identifying relevant documents***

All reasonable steps have been taken to identify and locate the relevant documents including liaising directly with the Chief Executive Officer and his Executive Assistant and the Manager of the Communications Division who carried out or arranged staff to carry out electronic and hard copy searches.

As agreed, duplicate documents have not been included where they were sent to a number of individuals at ANSTO.

### ***Findings of fact and reasons for decision***

Where the schedule of documents indicates an exemption claim has been applied to a document or part of a document, my findings of fact and reasons for deciding that the exemption provision applies to that document or part of document are set out below.

## **Section 47**

Section 47(1)(b) of the FOI Act provides that a document is an exempt document if it satisfies the following two criteria:

- (a) the document must contain information that has a commercial value either to an agency or to another person or body



(b) the commercial value of the information would, or could reasonably be expected to be, destroyed or diminished if it was disclosed.

I have considered the following factors when determining whether information has commercial value, and whether disclosure would destroy or diminish that value:

- Genepool Productions, the production company responsible for producing the documentary *"Uranium - Twisting the Dragon's Tail"* have been consulted and confirmed that the various draft scripts and certain parts of the covering emails are commercially valuable and sensitive because they detail the commercial process for producing programs.
- Throughout the production process, Genepool Productions took measures to protect the commercial value of the documents by marking them as Confidential and restricting circulation to a limited number of ANSTO personnel.
- The commercial value in the draft scripts would or could reasonably be expected to be destroyed or diminished if they were released to the public because it would allow competitors and other broadcasters to duplicate the commercial process described within the documents and give them a competitive advantage.
- Although the documentary has been aired, the actual production process is not widely known; therefore, the commercial value of the process documents has not been destroyed.

#### **Section 47G - Business Information**

In the event that the documents are not considered to be exempt under section 47 above, they should be conditionally exempt under section 47G.

Under section 47G, a document is conditionally exempt if it discloses information (business information) concerning a person in respect of his or her business or professional affairs, or concerning the business, commercial or financial affairs of an organisation or undertaking, where the disclosure of the information:

- would, or could reasonably be expected to, unreasonably affect the person adversely in respect of his or her lawful business or professional affairs or that organisation or undertaking in respect of its lawful business, commercial or financial affairs.

The material in respect of which an exemption has been claimed under section 47G is set out in the schedule. The documents are draft scripts and an email attaching to these draft scripts.

ANSTO has consulted with Genepool Productions and they have confirmed that the scripts are commercially sensitive because they detail the commercial process of production. Furthermore, they state that the scripts are the intellectual property of the investors in the production, and no authority has been provided to release them to the public.

The release of the documents would unreasonably affect the lawful business affairs of Genepool Productions because they would be available to competitors who could duplicate the process and would be given an unfair competitive advantage.

## **Public Interest**

Public interest section 11A(5) of the FOI Act would require ANSTO to provide access to a conditionally exempt document unless, in the circumstances, access to the document would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

I have considered the factors set out in section 11B of the FOI Act which favour disclosure, specifically whether disclosure would promote the objectives of the FOI Act, inform debate on a matter of public importance, promote effective oversight of public expenditure, or allow a person to access his or her personal information.

Section 11B(5) requires agencies, when assessing whether access to a document would on balance be contrary to the public interest, to have regard to the Guidelines. I have had regard to the non-exhaustive list of public interest factors favouring and against disclosure in paragraphs 6.25 and 6.29 of the Guidelines.

I accept that disclosure may promote the objects of the FOI Act in that it would provide access to information. However, I have considered the conditionally exempt documents, and there is no information in these documents which would:

- reveal or substantiate any misconduct, negligent, improper or unlawful conduct on behalf of ANSTO;
- provide any oversight of public expenditure; or
- provide the applicant with his personal information.

I note that ANSTO did not provide any funding towards the creation of this documentary, and Genepool Productions has offered to provide the final post production script upon request.

Balanced against the factors favouring disclosure, there are public interest factors against disclosure including:

- the commercial detriment to Genepool Productions that would arise if the scripts are made available to competitors;
- a potential future unwillingness by production companies to consult with experts at ANSTO due to a concern that their confidential material will be made public. This will limit the contribution that ANSTO can make to the public debate on nuclear matters.

## **Section 47F – documents affecting personal privacy**

Section 47F of the FOI Act permits conditional exemption of a document containing third party personal information where disclosure of that information would be unreasonable.

### *Personal information*

Personal information is defined in section 4 of the FOI Act as:

Information or an opinion (including information forming part of a database), whether true or not, and whether recorded in a material form or not, about an individual whose identity is apparent, or can reasonably be ascertained, from the information or opinion.

The elements of 'personal information' are:

- a. it relates only to a natural person (not, for example, a company);



- b. it says something about the individual;
- c. it may be in the form of an opinion, it may be true or untrue, and it may form part of a database; and
- d. the individual's identity is known or is reasonably ascertainable using the information in the document.

For those parts of documents where section 47F is claimed, I have found that the information is personal information as it has the elements of personal information as set out in the previous paragraph.

#### *Disclosure unreasonable*

The Federal Court has held that the term "unreasonable" in section 41 of the FOI Act (the predecessor to the current section 47F) prevents the unreasonable invasion of the privacy of a third party (*Re Chandra and Minister for Immigration and Ethnic Affairs* (1984) 6 ALN N257). Public interest is central to this consideration, but the test is not whether disclosure is in the public interest; it is whether the disclosure would be unreasonable in the circumstances of the particular case (*Colakovski v Australian Telecommunications Corporation* (1991) 29 FCR 429).

There are a range of factors in deciding whether or not disclosure would be unreasonable, such as:

- a. the nature of the information, i.e. it should not be bland or commonplace;
- b. the circumstances in which the information was obtained;
- c. the current relevance of the information;
- d. the stated object of the legislation in section 3 of the Act, being to facilitate and promote the disclosure of information;
- e. the extent to which the information is already a matter of public knowledge; and
- f. whether there was any expectation of confidentiality.

I find that disclosure of the parts of the documents conditionally exempted under section 47F would involve unreasonable disclosure of personal information about third parties. Release of the personal information would be unreasonable because:

- a. the information is about persons who are either ANSTO current or former employees or individuals from Genepool Productions.
- b. Genepool Productions has specifically requested that personal contact details are redacted.
- c. The information contains contact details which are not readily available on the internet.
- d. The names of the individuals are left in. The only details redacted are contact details, which do not appear to be relevant to the request.

Accordingly, I am satisfied that the documents are conditionally exempt under section 47F.

None of the exemptions claimed under section 47F contain personal information about the applicant.

### **Section 11A(5) - Public Interest**

Section 11A(5) of the FOI Act requires disclosure of a document even if it is conditionally exempt at a particular time unless (in the circumstances) access to the document at that time would, on balance, be contrary to the public interest.

In considering this issue, I have taken into account the following public interest factors in favour of and against disclosure:

#### *Factors in favour of disclosure*

- The interest in upholding the right of access to documents expressed in sections 3 and 11 of the FOI Act. Section 3 makes clear that the object of the Act is to give the Australian community access to information held by the Government of the Commonwealth by requiring agencies to publish the information and providing for a right of access to documents. Section 11 guarantees every person a right of access to documents.

#### *Factors against disclosure*

- The release of contact details, which are not otherwise easily accessible, could harm ANSTO's ability to appropriately protect personal information about its personnel and the personnel of its commercial partners.
- The release of names is sufficient to enhance the scrutiny of government decision-making.

In my view, in relation to these documents, the factors against disclosure outweigh the factors in favour of disclosure. I am satisfied that protecting the privacy of the individual employees, former employees and Genepool Productions personnel referred to in the documents outweighs any interest in disclosure of their personal details. This is consistent with ANSTO's *Privacy Guidelines*.

### **Section 45 – Documents containing material obtained in confidence**

Section 45(1) of the FOI Act provides that a document is an exempt document if its disclosure would found an action by a person for breach of confidence.

A breach of confidence is the failure of a recipient to keep information which has been communicated in circumstances giving rise to the obligation of confidence confidential.

The documents exempted under Section 45 are scripts and sections of the covering emails to these scripts which were communicated to ANSTO on the basis of a mutual understanding of confidence. In various emails the production scripts were identified as 'Confidential'. The circulation of the scripts was limited to a very small number of key personnel at ANSTO.



The scripts and the covering emails to the scripts reveal the commercial process for producing programs and are not common knowledge or in the public domain. Unauthorised disclosure would cause financial detriment by providing competitors and other broadcasters access to confidential working documents. Genepool Productions has indicated they are happy to provide final versions of the post production scripts.



Steven McIntosh  
Senior Manager, Government and International Affairs  
28 October 2016

## Attachment A

Request to Australian Nuclear Science and technology Organisation dated 18 August 2016 for all documents relating to:

*All written and email correspondence and documentation relating to the documentary TV series: Uranium - Twisting the Dragon's Tail, sent or received by ANSTO and its staff prior to, during the series' development and following its premier broadcast on SBS. The series was written by Wain Fimeri, was produced by Gene Pool Productions and first aired on SBS in 2015.*

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
<b>Volume 1</b>						
1.	1 - 2	3 June 2014	Cassandra Casey	Email to Josephine Wright c.c. Jane Howard, Gavin Atkins, Sonya Pemberton and Kristen Patchett re: Follow up from Genepool's visit	Release in Part	Folios 1 and 2 – redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
2.	3 - 5	31 July 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson re: Phone Call with Adi Paterson attaching synopsis	Release in part	Folio 3 – redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ). Folio 5 – redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
3.	6	8 August 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson re: Uranium documentary series – Fikushima question (Adi Paterson)	Release in part	Folio 6 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
4.	7	12 August 2014 @ 2.11pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Cassandra Casey re: Uranium documentary series – Fikushima question (Adi Paterson)	Release in Part	Folio 7 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
5.	8 – 10	12 August 2014	Sonya	Email to Cassandra Casey cc Adi Paterson	Release in	Folio 8, 9 and 10 - redact email address and contact details



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
		@ 5.28pm	Pemberton	and Josephine Wright re: Uranium Documentary	Part	(section 47F and s22).
6.	11 - 12	25 August 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson and Cassandra Casey re: Uranium – Script and Letter of Support attaching ANSTO Letter of Support	Release in Part	Folio 11 - redact email address (section 47F and s22).
7.	13 – 153	2 September 2014	Yasmin Noakes	Email to Adi Paterson and Karen Wolfe re: Uranium Documentary Series Scripts for Feedback attached attaching shoot scripts for episode 1, 2 and 3	Release in Part	Folio 13 - redact email address and contact details (section 47F and s22). Folio 14 – 153 – exempt in full (Section 47, s45 and 47G)
<b>Volume 2</b>						
8.	1 – 53	3 September 2014 @ 7.46am	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Cassandra Casey, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 1 attaching Adi Paterson Marked up changes	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address (section 47F and s22). Folio 2 - 53 - exempt in full (Section 47, s45 and 47G)
9.	54 – 55	3 September 2014 @ 1.40pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cass, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes and Josephine Wright re: Episode 1	Release in Part	Folio 54 - redact email address and contact details (section 47F and s22).

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
10.	56 - 57	8 September 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cassandra Casey, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes and Josephine Wright re: Episode 1	Release in Part	Folio 56 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
11.	58 – 105	10 September 2014 @7.02am	Adi Paterson	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton cc Cassandra Casey, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes and Josephine Wright re: Episode 2 and 3 attaching Adi Paterson marked up changes Uranium 2 and 3	Release in Part	Folio 58 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).  Folio 61 to 105 - exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
12.	106 - 108	10 September 2014 @ 9.22am	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cass, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes and Josephine Wright re: Episode 2 and 3	Release in Part	Folio 106 and 107 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
13.	109 - 112	15 December 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cass, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe, Yasmin Noakes and Josephine Wright re: Uranium – Documentary Series (Update December 2014)	Release in Part	Folio 109, 110 and 111- redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
14.	113 - 116	17 December 2014	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Karen Wolfe and Josephine Wright re: Uranium – Documentary Series (Update December 2014)	Release in Part	Folio 113, 114, 115 and 116 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
15.	117 – 152	18 December 2014	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Karen Wolfe and Sonya Pemberton re: Uranium: Rough Cut Episode 1 attaching Episode 1 Rough Cut Script	Release in Part	Folio 117 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and redact last two paragraphs ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> ).  Folio 118 - contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and the first 5 bullet points ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> ).  Folio 119 – 152 - exempt in full (Section 47, s45 and 47G)
16.	153 - 188	13 January 2015 @ 9.11am	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Karen Wolfe and Sonya Pemberton re: Feedback: Uranium: Rough Cut Episode 1 attaching Episode 1 Rough Cut Script	Release in Part	Folio 153 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).  Folio 154 – Redact questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )  Folio 155 – redact first and second paragraph ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
<b>Volume 3</b>						
17.	1 – 3	13 January 2015 @ 9.54am	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe re: feedback: Uranium:	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).  Folio 2 – Redact questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				Rough Cut Episode 1		Folio 3 – redact first four bullet points
18.	4	23 January 2015	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton, Cass, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe and Yasmin Noakes re: Uranium – documentary for SBS/PBS – next rough cuts	Release in Part	Folio 4 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
19.	5 - 6	31 January 2015	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton, Cass, Nadia Levin, Karen Wolfe and Yasmin Noakes re: Uranium – documentary for SBS/PBS – next rough cuts	Release in Part	Folio 5 and 6 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ).
20.	7 - 76	6 February 2015	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Yasmin Noakes re: Rough Cut episode two and three 'Uranium – Twisting the Dragon's Tail' attaching Episode 2 and 3 Rough Cut Script	Release in Part	Folio 7 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and last 3 questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )  Folio 8 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and first 3 paragraphs ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )  Folio 9 – 76 Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
21.	77 – 114	13 February 2015 @ 6.41pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton and Josephine Wright c.c.	Release in Part	Folio 77 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and redact questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				Adi personal email, Adi Paterson, Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 2 attaching marked up version 2 Adi Paterson marked up		Folio 78 - redact questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> ) Folio 79 to 113 - exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
<b>Volume 4</b>						
22.	1 - 2	13 February 2015 @ 6.53pm	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright, <u>Adi personal email</u> , Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 2	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
23.	3 - 4	17 February 2015 @ 11.02am	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright, <u>Adi personal email</u> , and Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 2	Release in Part	Folio 3 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) and questions ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
24.	5 - 8	17 February 2015 @ 1.44pm	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright <u>Adi personal email</u> , and Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 2 attaching revised script	Release in Part	Folio 5 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 6 - 8 - Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
25.	9 - 12	17 February 2015 @ 3.24pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton and Josephine Wright cc Yasmin Noakes and <u>Adi personal email</u> , re: Uranium Ep 3 attaching revised script	Release in Part	Folio 9 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 10 - 12- Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
26.	13	17 February 2015 @ 4.47pm	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright, Yasmin Noakes and <u>Adi personal email</u> , re: Uranium Ep 3 – Castle Bravo Feb 17	Release in Part	Folio 13 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
27.	14 – 20	21 February 2015 @ 6.15am	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Josephine Wright, Yasmin Noakes and <u>Adi personal email</u> , re: Uranium Ep 3 – Alpha Beta Gamma Rewrite attaching marked up text	Release in Part	Folio 14 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 16 – 20 - Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
28.	21 - 22	21 February 2015 @ 3.02pm	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright, Yasmin Noakes and <u>Adi personal email</u> , re: Uranium Ep3 – Alpha Beta Gamma rewrite	Release in Part	Folio 21 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
29.	23	6 March 2015 @ 3.12pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton re: Episode 3 Fine Cut Feedback	Release in Part	Folio 23 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
30.	24 - 25	6 March 2015 @ 3.15pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Sonya Pemberton and Yasmin Noakes re: Episode 3 Fine Cut Feedback	Release in Part	Folio 24 and 25 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
31.	26	12 March 2015	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton re: Feedback re: Ep 3 and Technetium	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
32.	27 - 28	13 March 2015 @ 11.10am	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Sonya Pemberton, Karen Wolfe and Yasmin Noakes re: Comment re: Ep 3 and Technetium	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
33.	29 – 65	13 March 2015 @ 3.58pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton re: Fine Cut episode 3 Uranium attaching fine cut episode 3	Release in Part	Folio 29 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 30 – 65 – Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
34.	66 - 67	17 March 2015 @ 7.35am	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Sonya Pemberton re: Fine Cut episode 3 Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 66- redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
35.	68 - 69	17 March 2015 @ 7.43am	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright re: Fine Cut episode 3 Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 68 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
36.	70 - 72	17 March 2015 @ 6.40pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Sonya Pemberton and Karen Wolfe re: Follow up: Fine Cut episode 3	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				Uranium	RIGHTS	
37.	73 - 76	18 March 2015	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe re: Follow up: Fine Cut episode 3 Uranium	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
38.	77 - 114	19 March 2015 @ 4.17pm	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright re: Uranium Episode 3 – Part 4 only attaching Episode 3 script v2	Release in Part	Folio 77 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 78 – 114 - Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )
39.	115 - 116	19 March 2015 @ 5.55pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Soya Pemberton re: Uranium Episode 3 – part 4 (only) fine cut Vs2	Release in Part	Folio 115 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
40.	117 - 118	19 March 2015 @ 5.59pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton re: Uranium Episode 3 – Part 4 only	Release in Part	Folio 117 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
41.	119 - 122	19 March 2015 @ 7.16pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe Follow Up: : Fine Cut episode 3 Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 119 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 120 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 121 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
42.	123 - 161	19 March 2015 @ 7.42pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 123 – redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 125 – 161 - Exempt in full ( <b>Section 47, s45 and 47G</b> )



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				Episode 3 Part 4 (only) attaching EP3 fine cut v 2 adi rev		
<b>Volume 5</b>						
43.	1	25 March 2015 @ 12.42pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Jade Bridgeman, Cass, Sonya Pemberton re: Uranium confirmation of credit as an advisor	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
44.	2 - 3	25 Mach 2015 @ 3.20pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Jade Bridgeman, Cass and Josephine Wright re: Confirmation and Thanks: Uranium confirmation of credit as an advisor	Release in Part	Folio 2 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )  Folio 3 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
45.	4 - 5	1 April 2015	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright re: Uranium 2 hour version for PBS and rest of world	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
46.	6 - 7	2 April 2015 @ 10.24am	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe re: Uranium 2 hour version for PBS and rest of world	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
47.	8 - 94	2 April 2015 @ 10.11pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton and Karen Wolfe re: Uranium 2 hour version for PBS and rest of world attaching 2 hour fine cut	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
48.	95 – 97	10 April 2015 @ 10.42pm	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Sonya Pemberton and Karen Wolfe re: Agree Uranium – 2 hour version for PBS and the rest of the world	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
49.	98 - 100	13 April 2015 @ 10.30pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Sonya Pemberton and Karen Wolfe re: Agree: 2 hour version for PBS and the rest of the world	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
50.	101 - 103	14 April 2015	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Josephine Wright and Karen Wolfe re: 2 hour version for PBS and the rest of the world	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS	WITHELD PENDING THIRD PARTY REVIEW RIGHTS
51.	104 - 123	12 May 2015	Josephine Wright	Email to Adi Paterson cc Karen Wolfe and Sonya Pemberton re: Uranium PBS/SBS Documentary series for Bio for Press Kit att: Press Kit	Release in Part	Folio 104 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
52.	124 - 126	18 May 2015	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright cc Karen Wolfe and Sonya Pemberton attached: re: Uranium PBS/SBS Documentary series for Bio for Press Kit att: Adi Paterson Biography May 2015 v 2	Release in Part	Folio 124 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
53.	127 - 128	11 June 2015	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cass and Josephine Wright – Uranium documentary Series – ON AIR DATES	Release in Part	Folio 127 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 128 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
54.	129 – 130	21 July 2015 @ 11.43am	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a>	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a> re: New Australian science series 'Uranium Twisting the Dragon's Tail' to premiere in Australia in August	Release in Part	Folio 129 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 130 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
55.	131 - 134	21 July 2015 @ 1.06pm	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a>	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a> re: New Australian science series 'Uranium Twisting the Dragon's Tail' to premiere in Australia in August attaching banner	Release in Part	Folio 131 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 132 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
56.	135 - 138	21 July 2015 @ 5.17pm	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a>	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a> re: 'Uranium Twisting the Dragon's Tail' to premiere	Release in Part	Folio 135 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				attaching banner		
57.	139 - 141	13 August 2015 @ 4.43pm	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a>	<a href="mailto:Info@genepoolproductions.com">Info@genepoolproductions.com</a> re: 'Uranium Episode 2 – 'The Rock That Changed the World' this Sunday at 8.30pm on SBS	Release in Part	Folio 139 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
58.	142 - 143	2 September 2016	Sonya Pemberton	Email to Adi Paterson cc Cass re: Eureka Prize	Release in Part	Folio 142 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
<b>Volume 6</b>						
59.	1 - 3	22 August 2013	Alan Finkel	Email to Adi Paterson re: Uranium – a proposed documentary attaching Uranium One Pager	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 3 – redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
60.	4	28 May 2014	Alan Finkel	Email to Adi Paterson re: Sonya Pemberton visit to ANSTO	Release in Part	Folio 4 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
61.	5	2 September 2013 @ 12.13pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Nadia Levin, Cassandra Casey and Bob Ring re: Uranium – a Proposed Documentary	Release in Part	Folio 5 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
<b>Volume 7</b>						
62.	1	2 September 2013 @	Cassandra Casey	Email to Adi Paterson, Nadia Levin and Bob	Release in Part	Folio 1 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
		12.26pm		Ring re: Uranium – a Proposed Documentary		
63.	2 - 4	5 September 2013 @ 8.57am	Adi Paterson	Email to Karen Wolfe, Cassandra Casey re: Uranium – A proposed documentary attaching Uranium One Pager	Release in Part	Folio 2 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 4 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
64.	5-6	5 September 2013 @ 6.52pm	Bob Ring	Email to Adi Paterson, Nadia Levin and Cassandra Casey re: Uranium – a Proposed Documentary	Release in Part	Folio 5 - - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
65.	7 – 9	12 August 2014 @ 2.53pm	Cassandra Casey	Email to Adi Paterson re: Uranium documentary series	Release in Part	Folio 8 – redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
66.	10 - 12	12 August 2014 @ 3.25pm	Adi Paterson	Email to Cassandra Casey re: Uranium documentary series	Release in Part	Folio 11 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 12 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
67.	13 – 14	12 August 2014 @ 3.36pm	Cassandra Casey	Email to Sonya Pemberton re: Uranium documentary series	Release in Part	Folio 13 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> ) Folio 14 - redact email address and contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
68.	15 – 18	17 November 2014	Philip McCall	Email to multiple recipients re: re: Media Filming at ANSTO/OPAL on 26 and 27 November	Release in Part	Folio 17 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
69.	19 - 24	25 November 2014 @ 11.34am	Kara Kennedy	Email to multiple recipients re: Media Filming at ANSTO/OPAL on 26 and 27 November	Release in Part	<p>Folio 19 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 20 - redact email address and contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 22 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 23 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 24 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p>
70.	25 - 30	25 November 2014 @ 7.25pm	Philip McCall	Email to Tony Haddad, Kara Kennedy and Paul Jones re: Media Filming at ANSTO/OPAL on 26 and 27 November	Release in Part	<p>Folio 25 - redact email address and contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 26 - redact email address and contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 28 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 29- redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 30 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p>
71.	31 - 32	28 January 2015	Yasmin Noakes	Email to Jenna Ffrost re: Uranium – documentary for SBS/PBS – next Rough Cuts	Release in Part	<p>Folio 31 - redact email address and contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 32 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p>
72.	33 - 34	31 January 2015	Adi Paterson	Email to Josephine Wright re: Uranium – documentary for SBS/PBS – next Rough Cuts	Release in Part	<p>Folio 33 - redact email address and contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p> <p>Folio 34 - redact contact details (<b>section 47F and s22</b>)</p>



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
73.	35	27 May 2015	Cassandra Casey	Email to Philip McCall re: FYI Only – “Twisting the Dragon’s Tail” piece is slated to premiere in the US and Europe in late July, and here in early August	Release in Full	
74.	36	16 July 2015	Cassandra Casey	Email to Jane Howard and Jade Bridgman re: Twisting the dragon’s tail	Release in Full	
75.	37	29 July 2015	Jane Howard	Email to Cassandra Casey, Jason Setchell, Susan Bogle and Philip McCall re: Twisting the Dragon’s Tail to aire in several hours in The States	Release in Part	Folio 37 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
76.	38 - 39	31 July 2015	Clare O’Brien	Email to Cassandra Casey and Jane Howard re: Uranium – Twisting the Dragon’s tail	Release in Part	Folio 39 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
77.	40 - 42	3 August 2015	Cassandra Casey	Email to Adi Paterson re: ‘Uranium – Twisting the Dragon’s Tail’ premieres 8.30pm this Sunday on SBS	Release in Part	Folio 40 - redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
78.	43 - 46	4 August 2015	Cassandra Casey	Email to Philip McCall, Jason Setchell, Jane Howard and Ben Wyld	Release in Full	

Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				re: Twisting the Dragon's tail – support from ANSTO		
79.	47 - 48	5 August 2015 @ 12.48pm	Ben Wyld	Email to Cassandra Casey and Jane Howard re: Twisting the Dragon's Tail copy attaching Document improves understanding of radioactivity	Release in Full	
80.	49	5 August 2015 @ 2.22pm	Clare O'Brien	Email to Cassandra Casey re: Cosmos feature review on the Twisting the Dragon's Tail series	Release in Part	Folio 49 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
81.	50 - 51	5 August 2015 @ 2.23pm	Susan Bogle	Email to Ben Wyld re: Content for Intranet: Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 50 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
82.	52 - 56	5 August 2015 @ 3.31pm	Jane Howard	Email to Ben Wyld re: Twisting the Dragon's Tail – support from ANSTO	Release in Full	
83.	57	7 August 2015 @ 10.49am	Cassandra Casey	Email to Philip McCall re: Uranium Words	Release in Full	
84.	58 - 59	7 August 2015 @ 4.03pm	Genepool Productions	Email to Genepool Productions and BCC list re: It's Australia's turn to meet the	Release in Full	



Doc No.	Folio No.	Date	Author	Description of contents	Decision	Parts over which exemption claimed
				dragon...		
85.	60	20 August 2015	Suan Bogle	Email to Ben Wyld re: Uranium	Release in Part	Folio 60 - redact contact details ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )
86.	61	21 August 2015	Kristen Patchett	Email to Ben Wyld re: Uranium Follow up	Release in Full	
87.	62	1 September 2016 @ 9.51am	Adi Paterson	Email to Sonya Pemberton re: Eureka Prize	Release in Full	
88.	63	1 September 2016 @ 4.43pm	Cassandra Casey	Email to Sonya Pemberton and Derek re: Congratulations on your Eureka Prize	Release in Part	Folio 63 – redact email address ( <b>section 47F and s22</b> )

## **ATTACHMENT B – REVIEW RIGHTS**

### ***Internal review***

If you are dissatisfied with this decision, you have certain rights of review available to you. Firstly, under section 54 of the Act, you may apply for an internal review of the decision. It is not necessary to go through ANSTO's internal review process and you may apply for a review by the Information Commissioner (see below). However, the Information Commissioner is of the view that it is usually better to seek an internal review first.

Your application for an internal review must be made within 30 days, or such further period as ANSTO allows, of your receiving this notice.

No particular form is required to apply for review although it will assist your case to set out in the application the grounds on which you believe that the original decision should be overturned. An application for a review of the decision should be addressed to:

Email address: [foi@ansto.gov.au](mailto:foi@ansto.gov.au) or

Postal address: FOI Coordinator  
Locked Bag 2001  
Kirrawee DC NSW 2232

### ***Information Commissioner***

You may also apply to the Information Commissioner for a review of the decision, or the subsequent internal review decision made by ANSTO. Your application must be made within 60 days, or such further period as the Information Commissioner allows, of your receiving the notice of an initial decision or a decision made on internal review.

No particular form is required to apply for review although it must give details on how notices may be sent to you (e.g. postal or email address) and include a copy of the notice of the decision given by ANSTO. The application should also contain particulars of the basis on which you dispute the decision.

You can lodge your application with the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner in a number of ways:

Online: [www.oaic.gov.au](http://www.oaic.gov.au)

Post: GPO Box 5218 Sydney NSW 2001

Fax: +61 2 9284 9666

Email: [enquiries@oaic.gov.au](mailto:enquiries@oaic.gov.au)

In person: Level 3, 25 National Circuit, Forrest, ACT, or at Level 8, Piccadilly Tower, 133 Castlereagh Street, Sydney, NSW

### ***Complaints to the Commonwealth Ombudsman***

You may also complain to the Ombudsman concerning action taken by an agency in the exercise of powers or the performance of functions under the FOI Act. There is no fee for making a complaint. The Ombudsman will make a completely independent investigation of your complaint.

Complaints can be made in writing (GPO Box 442, Canberra ACT 2601), by telephone (1300 362 072), in person or by using an online complaint form: see [www.ombudsman.gov.au](http://www.ombudsman.gov.au).

The Ombudsman usually prefers applicants to seek internal review before requesting investigation of a complaint by the Ombudsman.