

12 September 2019  
**LEX ID: 3944**

Ms Else Kennedy

**By email:** [foi+request-5542-ebea23ed@righttoknow.org.au](mailto:foi+request-5542-ebea23ed@righttoknow.org.au)

Dear Ms Kennedy

**Freedom of information Act 1982 (FOI Act) request dated 30 July no. LEXD 3944 regarding monitoring of Building 164 at Melbourne University's Parkville campus**

I refer to your request for access to documents relating to the monitoring of Building 164 at Melbourne University's Parkville campus during, prior to and following its demolition under the FOI Act (**Request**). I also refer to my letter dated 16 August 2019, requesting you to, inter alia, reduce the scope of your Request, as in its original form it would substantially and unreasonably divert the resources of this agency from its other operations due to its complexity and scope. You responded to my correspondence within the allocated timeframe of 14 days to ANSTO and I am now prepared to accept the Request with revised scope as set out below.

The scope of the Request will now be limited to spreadsheets which summarise the results of its radiation monitoring during the period 2010-12 and 2017 to present in connection with the subject building at the University's campus. I note that ANSTO is not required to produce duplicate spreadsheets, where the spreadsheets provided to you summarise the data for the relevant periods requested (**New Request**).

***Decision***

I have considered and accept the revised scope of the New Request.

As per the FOI Act by accepting the New Request, I now have **30 days** from the date of this letter to consider the New Request.


I will need to make enquiries as to which records may fall within the scope of the New Request and I am not sure what records exist or have been maintained. Should the New Request require a further reduction in scope, I will let you know but I believe the New Request should be able to be managed by ANSTO without unreasonably diverting resources from our other functions.

***Considerations***

During this time, I will consider whether third parties should be consulted on the release of any documents, if so this may extend the timeframe under the FOI Act. If third party consultations are to take place you will be advised of the extended timeframe.

I will take the submissions of any third parties into account in making my decision in relation to the New Request.

Yours sincerely,



**MRS ROSLYN HATTON**  
**DEPUTY- CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER**  
**ANSTO**