



AFP
AUSTRALIAN FEDERAL POLICE

Our ref: 2020/366

21 January 2020

Mr Steve Smith

By email: foi+request-5920-63767e1b@righttoknow.org.au

Dear Mr Smith

Freedom of Information request

I refer to your application dated 19 November 2019, under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (the Act) seeking access to:

"All documents relating to the appointment of John Lawler by Commissioner Kershaw to review certain aspects of the AFP. These include messages between the Commissioner and Mr Lawler.

As part of this request any documents relating to the remuneration of Mr Lawler are also requested."

My decision and statement of reasons for that decision is at Annexure A. A schedule of documents identified as falling within the scope of your request is at Annexure B.

Disclosure Log

I have decided to publish the documents in part in respect of your request. Publication of the documents will be made to the Disclosure Log on the AFP website at

<https://www.afp.gov.au/about-us/information-publication-scheme/routinely-requested-information-and-disclosure-log> in accordance with timeframes stipulated in section 11C of the Act.

Yours sincerely

Shelley Miller
Acting Deputy General Counsel
Freedom of Information and Information Law
Chief Counsel Portfolio

**STATEMENT OF REASONS RELATING TO AN FOI REQUEST BY
Steve Smith, Right to Know**

I, Shelley Miller, Acting Deputy General Counsel, Freedom of Information and Information Law, am an officer authorised under section 23 of the Act to make decisions in relation to the Australian Federal Police.

What follows is my decision and reasons for the decision in relation to your application.

BACKGROUND

On 19 November 2019, this office received your application in which you requested:

"All documents relating to the appointment of John Lawler by Commissioner Kershaw to review certain aspects of the AFP. These include messages between the Commissioner and Mr Lawler.

As part of this request any documents relating to the remuneration of Mr Lawler are also requested."

On 2 December 2019 you were notified of the requirement to consult a third party pursuant to section 15(6) of the Act.

SEARCHES

In relation to this request, a search was undertaken by the Lawler Review team and the Commissioner's office for documents relevant to the request.

WAIVER OF CHARGES

Given that the request has exceeded all statutory timeframes as outlined at section 15 of the Act, the AFP is not able to impose any fees or charges as outlined at regulation 5(2) & (3) of the *Freedom of Information (Charges) Regulations 1982*.

DECISION

I have identified 53 documents relevant to your request. The schedule of documents detailing my decision in relation to each document (Schedule) is at Annexure B.

I have decided that:

- documents are released to you in their entirety;
- documents are released in part with deletions pursuant to sections 22(1)(a)(ii), 47E(d), 47F and 47G of the Act; and
- documents are exempt in full pursuant to sections 47F and 47G of the Act.

My reasons for this decision are set out below.

REASONS FOR DECISION

Folios to which section 22(1)(a)(ii) applies:

Section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the Act provides that:

- "(1) Where:
- (a) an agency or Minister decides:
 - (ii) that to grant a request for access to a document would disclose information that would reasonably be regarded as irrelevant to that request;"

The parts of the documents identified in the Schedule under this section of the Act contain information which is considered irrelevant to the request. I have determined that information contained in some of the folios is irrelevant because it does not come within the scope of your application. This information refers to other issues which are not mentioned in your FOI application or is information you agreed to exclude from the scope of your request. In accordance with our acknowledgement letter dated 20 November 2019 you agreed to exclude the names of AFP members, other than the Senior Executive, and direct telephone numbers, signatures and mobile telephone numbers of AFP members.

Accordingly, I find that those parts of the documents would reasonably be regarded as irrelevant to the request under section 22(1)(a)(ii) of the Act.

Folios to which section 47E(d) applies:

Section 47E(d) of the Act provides that:

"A document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure under this Act would, or could reasonably be expected to, do any of the following:

- ...
- (d) *have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of an agency;..."*

The documents or parts of documents identified in the Schedule as exempt under this section of the Act contain information, the release of which, would have a substantial adverse effect on the conduct of AFP operations – specifically, its ability to assess and manage risks in relation to its expected functions as a law enforcement agency.

In addition, the information redacted under this section of the Act reveals internal operational AFP email addresses and contact details. These contact details are not widely known and to disclose this information would impact on the AFP's day to day operations by resulting in the diversion of AFP resources to responding to unsolicited correspondence received through those points of contact.

I have considered the public interest factors both in favour and against disclosure of the information in these folios.

In relation to the factors favouring disclosure, I consider the following are relevant:

- (a) the general public interest in access to documents as expressed in sections 3 and 11 of the Act; and
- (b) the public interest in people being able to scrutinise the operations of a government agency and in promoting governmental accountability and transparency.

In relation to the factors against disclosure, I consider that the following are relevant:

- (c) the need for the agency to maintain confidentiality with regard to the subject matter and the circumstances in which the information was obtained and collated, particularly so far as this relates to proper and efficient procedures involved in assessing and evaluating information; and
- (d) that if information concerning internal contact details were revealed, it may have a substantial adverse effect on the conduct of AFP operations in the future; and
- (e) if such information was disclosed, it would divert AFP resources from the proper conduct of their expected operations.

While there is a public interest in providing access to documents held by the AFP, I have given greater weight to factors (c) to (e) above and conclude that on balance, disclosure is not in the public interest.

Accordingly, I find those documents or parts of documents are exempt under s47E(d) of the Act.

Folios to which section 47F applies:

Section 47F of the Act provides that:

- “(1) A document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure under this Act would involve the unreasonable disclosure of personal information about any person (including a deceased person).”*

The documents or parts of documents identified in the Schedule as exempt under this section of the Act contain personal information of third parties. Personal information is information or an opinion about an individual whose identity is known or easily ascertainable. I find that these documents contain details including contact and address details together with family information.

I have taken into account the factors at section 47F(2), including:

- (a) the extent to which the information is well known;
- (b) whether the person to whom the information relates is known to be (or to have been) associated with the matters dealt with in the documents;
- (c) the availability of the information from publicly accessible sources;
- (d) the current relevance of the information; and
- (e) the circumstances in which the information was obtained and any expectation of confidentiality.

I find release of this personal information to be unreasonable on the grounds that it contains personal information that is not well known or in the public domain. This information remains current. It was also obtained as part of an engagement process for services where there is an expectation of confidentiality.

I have also considered the public interest factors both in favour and against disclosure of the information in these folios.

In relation to the factors favouring disclosure, I consider the general public interest in access to documents as expressed in sections 3 and 11 of the Act is relevant.

In relation to the factors against disclosure, I consider the fact that is particularly relevant is the prejudice to the protection of an individual's right to privacy.

While there is a public interest in providing access to documents held by the AFP, I have given greater weight to the factors against disclosure above, and conclude that on balance, disclosure is not in the public interest. Accordingly, I find the documents or parts of documents are exempt under section 47F of the Act.

Folios to which section 47G applies:

Section 47G of the Act provides that:

- “(1) A document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure under this Act would disclose information concerning a person in respect of his or her business or professional affairs or concerning the business, commercial or financial affairs of an organisation or undertaking, in a case in which the disclosure of the information:*
- (a) would, or could reasonably be expected to, unreasonably affect that person adversely in respect of his or her lawful business or professional affairs or that organisation or undertaking in respect of its lawful business, commercial or financial affairs; or*
 - (b) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the future supply of information to the Commonwealth or an agency for the purpose of the administration of a law of the Commonwealth or of a Territory or the administration of matters administered by an agency.”*

The parts of the documents identified in the Schedule as exempt under this section of the Act contain information which relates to the business affairs of a private organisation. It is considered that this private organisation would be unreasonably affected by the disclosure of the information as it directly relates to their business and commercial affairs.

In relation to the factors favouring disclosure, I consider the following are relevant:

- (a) the general public interest in access to documents as expressed in sections 3 and 11 of the Act; and
- (b) the public interest in people being able to scrutinise the operations of a government agency and in promoting governmental accountability and transparency.

In relation to the factors against disclosure, I consider that the following are relevant:

- (c) disclosure would adversely affect the commercial value of the private organisation as it would reveal the methods in which they manage their business, commercial affairs and private clientele;
- (d) disclosure would prejudice the expectation of confidentiality of the information, in particular the commercial value of the private organisation and the personal information of its clientele; and
- (e) disclosure would adversely affect the future commercial earnings of the private organisation if information obtained confidentially was disclosed, and inhibit the organisation's future ability to acquire and maintain a clientele base.

I have considered the public interest factors both in favour and against disclosure and in my view, in relation to these documents, the factors at (c) to (e) against disclosure outweigh the factors in favour of disclosure. I find the documents or parts of the documents are exempt under section 47G of the Act.

EVIDENCE/MATERIAL ON WHICH MY FINDINGS WERE BASED

In reaching my decision, I have relied on the following:

- ❖ the scope of your application;
- ❖ the contents of the documents listed in the attached Schedule;
- ❖ advice from AFP officers with responsibility for matters relating to the documents to which you sought access;
- ❖ consultation with third parties;
- ❖ *Freedom of Information Act 1982*; and
- ❖ Guidelines issued by the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner.

*****YOU SHOULD READ THIS GENERAL ADVICE IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENTS IN THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT 1982*****

REVIEW AND COMPLAINT RIGHTS

If you are dissatisfied with a Freedom of Information decision made by the Australian Federal Police, you can apply either for internal review of the decision, or for a review by the Information Commissioner (IC). You do not have to apply for internal review before seeking review by the IC.

For complaints about the AFP's actions in processing your request, you do not need to seek review by either the AFP or the IC in making your complaint.

REVIEW RIGHTS under Part VI of the Act

Internal review by the AFP

Section 53A of the Act gives you the right to apply for internal review in writing to the AFP within 30 days of being notified of a decision. No particular form is required. It would assist the independent AFP decision-maker responsible for reviewing the file if you set out in the application, the grounds on which you consider that the decision should be reviewed.

Section 54B of the Act provides that the submission for review must be made within 30 days. Applications should be addressed to:

Freedom of Information
Australian Federal Police
GPO Box 401
Canberra ACT 2601

REVIEW RIGHTS under Part VII of the Act

Review by the Information Commissioner

Alternatively, section 54L of the Act gives you the right to apply directly to the IC for review, including after internal review by the AFP. In making your application you will need to provide an address for notices to be sent (this can be an email address) and a copy of the AFP decision. It would also assist if you set out the reasons for review in your application.

Section 54S of the Act provides the relevant timeframes. For an *access refusal decision* covered by section 54L(2), the application must be made within 60 days. For an *access grant decision* covered by section 54M(2), the application must be made within 30 days.

Applications for review by the IC should be addressed to:

Office of the Australian Information Commissioner
GPO Box 5128
Sydney NSW 2001

Further, the OAIC encourages parties to review to resolve their dispute informally, and encourages agencies to consider possible compromises or alternative solutions to the dispute in this matter. The AFP would be pleased to assist you in this regard.

Information about this process can be found in Part 10 of the Guidelines which are available on the OAIC's website at <http://www.oaic.gov.au/publications/guidelines.html>.

RIGHT TO COMPLAIN under Part VIIB of the Act

Section 70 of the Act provides that a person may complain to the IC about action taken by the Australian Federal Police in relation to your application.

A complaint to the IC may be made in writing and identify the agency against which the complaint is made. There is no particular form required to make a complaint, but the complaint should set out the grounds on which you consider the action should be investigated.

The IC may be contacted on 1300 363 992.

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|---------------|--|
| 1 | 1 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | s22(1)(a)(ii) Exempted material would disclose information that would reasonably be regarded as irrelevant to the request. |
| | | | |
| | 2 | s47G | s47G(1)(a) Deletions are made on the grounds that disclosure would reasonably be expected to affect that person adversely in respect of his or her lawful business or professional affairs. Access must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest. |
| | | | |
| | 3 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 2 | 4 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | | |
| | | | s47E(d) Exempted material would disclose information that would have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of the AFP and would be contrary to the public interest. Access must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest. |
| 3 | 6 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 7 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 4 | 8 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 9 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 10 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 11 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| 5 | 12 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 13 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 14 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|------------------------------------|--|
| 6 | 15 | s47E(d) s22(1)(a)(ii) | s47F Deletions are made on the grounds that disclosure would involve the unreasonable provision of personal details of people other than the FOI applicant. Access must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest. |
| | | | |
| | 17 | s47F Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| 7 | 18 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 19 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 8 | 21 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 23 | s47G | |
| | | s47F | |
| 9 | 24 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 25 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 26 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 10 | 27 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 28 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 29 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 30 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 31 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 11 | 32 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 33 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|--------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | | s47F | |
| | 34 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| 12 | 36 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 37 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 38 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 39 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| 13 | 40-62 | Release in full | |
| 14 | 63 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 64 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 65 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| 15 | 66 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 67 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 68 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| 16 | 69 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 70 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 71 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| 17 | 72 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 73 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 18 | 74 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 75 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------|-----------|
| 19 | 76 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 77 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 20 | 78 | s47G | |
| | 79 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 84 | s47G | |
| | 85 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |
| | 86 | s47G | |
| | 98 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 101 | s47F | |
| | 102 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 105-106 | Exempt in full: s47F | |
| 21 | 107 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 108 | s47G | |
| 22 | 109 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 110 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 23 | 111-132 | Released in full | |
| 24 | 133 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |
| | 134 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 135 | s47F | |
| | 136 | s47F | |
| | 137 | s47F | |
| | 138 | s47F | |
| | 139 | s47G | |
| | | s47F | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| | 140 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 141 | s47G | |
| | 147 | s47F | |
| | 148 | s47F | |
| | 149 | s47F | |
| | 150 | s47F | |
| | 151 | s47F | |
| | 152 | s47F | |
| | 153 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 155 | s47F | |
| | 156 | s47F | |
| | 157 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 158 | s47F | |
| | 159 | s47F | |
| | 160-161 | Exempt in full: s47F | |
| 25 | 162 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 163 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 164 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 165 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 166 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 26 | 167 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 168 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 169 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 27 | 171 | s47G | |
| | 174 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 175 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 177 | s47G | |
| 28 | 178-183 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | Some folios released in full |

SCHEDULE OF DECISION – CRM 2020/366 – STEVE SMITH

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------|
| 29 | 184 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 185 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 186 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 187 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 30 | 188 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 189 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 190 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 191-192 | Exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 195 | s47G | |
| | 201 | s47G | |
| | 202 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 203 | s47G | |
| 31 | 222 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 223 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 224 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 225 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 32 | 226 | s47G | |
| | 227 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 228 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 229 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| 33 | 230 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 231 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 232 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | | | |

SCHEDULE OF DECISION – CRM 2020/366 – STEVE SMITH

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 233 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 234 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 235 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 236 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 237 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 238 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 239 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 240 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 241 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 242 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 243 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |

SCHEDULE OF DECISION – CRM 2020/366 – STEVE SMITH

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 244 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 245 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 246 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| 34 | 247 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 248 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 249 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 250 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 251 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 252 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 253 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 254 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 255 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 256 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 257 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 258 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 259 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 260 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 261 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 262 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 263 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 264 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 265 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 266 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| | 267 | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| 35 | 268 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 270 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 271 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 272 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 273 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 274 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 275 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 276 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 277 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| | 278 | Folio exempt in full: s47E(d) | |
| 36 | 279 | s47G | |
| | 280 | s47G | |
| | 281 | s47F | |
| | 282 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |
| 37 | 283 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 284 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 38 | 285 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 286 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| | 287 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47E(d) | |
| 39 | 288 | s47G | |
| | 289 | s47G | |
| | 290 | s47F | |
| | 291 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 293 | s47G | |
| | 296 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 297 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 298 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 299 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47G | |
| | 302 | s47E(d) | |
| | 304 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 40 | 306 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| 41 | 307 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 308 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 42 | 309 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | s47F | |
| | 310 | s47F | |
| | 311 | s47G | |
| | | Folio exempt in full: s47F | |
| 43 | 312 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 44 | 313 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 45 | 314 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 315 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 316 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 46 | 317 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 319 | s47G | |
| | 322 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 323 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 325 | s47G | |
| | 328 | s47E(d) | |
| | 330 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 47 | 332 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |

SCHEDULE OF DECISION – CRM 2020/366 – STEVE SMITH

| Document | Folio # | Description | Exemption |
|----------|---------|---------------|--|
| 48 | 333 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 334 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 335 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 49 | 336 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 337 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 338 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 340 | s47E(d) | |
| | 341 | s47F | |
| | 342 | s47G | |
| | 343 | s47E(d) | |
| | 345 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 346 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 347 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 348 | s47G | |
| | 351 | s47E(d) | |
| | 353 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 50 | 355 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 356 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 357 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 51 | 358 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 359 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 360 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 361 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 52 | 362 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| 53 | 363 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 364 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 365 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | 366 | s22(1)(a)(ii) | |
| | | | Folios not itemised are released in full |