

From: Clive Boughton
Sent: Wednesday, August 26, 2020 5:25 PM
To: Phil & Sue Green
Subject: Re: Evacs

Phil,

I was actually incorrect to say that the scrutiny sheet I produced was exactly the same as that in 2012. The scrutiny sheet I produced has an extra count row because (as we discussed and agreed on Monday) same-value fractions calculated at different points in the overall count should be treated as separate fractional buckets. This happens for Bresnan in 2012 where he had received 6609 votes of fraction value 1 before Gentleman's votes were distributed. As we've already determined, the fractional value worked out from Gentleman's distribution was also worth 1. Bresnan received 804 such votes. In the scrutiny sheet I produced, these two sets of votes of fractional value 1 were treated separately during his exclusion.

Regards.

Clive.

Dr Clive Boughton
Principal Consultant
Software Improvements Pty Ltd
PO Box 1928
Canberra, ACT
Australia

Phone:  