

19 May 2021

Ms Eliza Sorensen

By email: foi+request-7092-a2075290@righttoknow.org.au

Dear Ms Sorensen,

Decision on access to documents under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982*

The purpose of this letter is to give you a decision about access to documents that you requested from the eSafety Commissioner (eSafety) under the *Freedom of Information Act 1982* (FOI Act).

I, Maria Vassiliadis, am an officer authorised under section 23(1) of the FOI Act to make decisions in relation to requests under the Act.

Background

On 18 February 2021, you requested access to:

‘Any emails or final documents containing the following keywords or phrases "Thorn", "Spotlight", "ClearviewAI", "Clearview", "Clearview Ai", "PhotoDNA".’

The specified time period for your request was 1 January 2017 to 18 February 2021.

Following receipt of your request, eSafety advised you that pursuant to section 24 of the FOI Act, we may need to refuse your request in accordance with sections 24AA(1)(a)(i) and 24AA(1)(b)) of the FOI Act. We therefore commenced a consultation process with you to narrow the scope of your request to ensure a practical refusal reason under section 24AA of the FOI Act did not exist.

On 19 March 2021, you submitted a revised request seeking access to:

‘Any emails or correspondence from the E-Safety Commissioner or final documents between the period of April 2018 to now that contain the following words or phrases: "Thorn", "Spotlight".’

As a result of our extended consultation, the practical refusal reason was addressed and eSafety was able to process your revised request.

On 19 April 2021, eSafety wrote to you advising that we were required to undertake third party consultation. Specifically, eSafety advised that we would be writing to relevant individuals in relation to the documents that contain their personal information and/or information concerning their business or professional affairs.

To enable this third party consultation, the statutory time period for processing your request was extended by an additional 30 days in accordance with subsection 15(6) of the FOI Act.

The time period for processing your request concludes on 19 May 2021.

We are providing you a response within the statutory timeframe.

Scope of your request

I understand the following in relation to the scope of your request:

- your reference to ‘emails or correspondence from the E-Safety Commissioner’ to be emails or correspondence sent by the eSafety Commissioner, Julie Inman Grant, and

- your request does not include news articles, complaints data, draft versions of documents or publicly available information.

I have identified 111 documents which fall within the scope of your request.

Material taken into account

I have taken the following material into account in making my decision on access:

- the FOI Act, specifically sections 11, 11A, 11B, 22, 24, 26, 27, 27A, 33, 37, 45, 47C, 47E, 47F and 47G
- the guidelines issued by the Australian Information Commissioner under section 93A of the FOI Act (Guidelines)
- the content of the documents that fall within the scope of your request, and
- the views of third parties consulted by eSafety under sections 27 and 27A.

Decision and Reasons for Decision

I have decided that of the 111 documents identified as relevant to your request:

- 6 are released in full
- 53 are released in part, and
- 52 are wholly exempt from release.

Pursuant to section 22 of the FOI Act, I have also redacted information that is irrelevant to the scope of your request. Given the broad nature of your request, a number of documents are heavily redacted because the majority of the content is not within the scope of your request. We have also redacted information we assume you do not require, including the names of public servants.

The attached schedule of documents provides a description of each document and my decision on access for each one. The reasons for my decisions are outlined below.

Although certain documents have been refused access on the basis of certain exemptions, I have also referenced s 22 in the schedule, to indicate some parts of their content is either not relevant or out of scope of your application.

Exemption – Section 33 of the FOI Act – Documents affecting national security, defence or international relations

Section 33(a) of the FOI Act provides that a document is exempt if its disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to, cause damage to:

- i) the security of the Commonwealth
- ii) the defence of the Commonwealth, or
- iii) the international relations of the Commonwealth.

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain information that, if disclosed, could impede the ability of the Australian Government to maintain good working relations with other governments and international organisations. Such a disclosure could restrict the flow of confidential information between these parties and the Australian Government. I have considered what is reasonable in all the circumstances, having regard to the nature of the information, the circumstances in which it was communicated and the nature and extent of the relationship.

In these circumstances, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 33(a)(iii):

Documents 1, 4, 11, 14, 15, 36-38, 41, 43, 44, 52, 60, 61, 75-76, 78, 82, 84, 85, 87, 91, 97, 108

Exemption – Section 37 of the FOI Act – Documents affecting enforcement of law and protection of public safety

Section 37(2) of the FOI Act provides that a document is exempt if its disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to:

- (b) disclose lawful methods for dealing with matters arising out of breaches or evasions of the law, the disclosure of which would be reasonably likely to prejudice the effectiveness of those methods or procedures, or
- (c) prejudice the maintenance or enforcement of lawful methods for the protection of public safety.

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain information that discusses national and international approaches to law enforcement, including mechanisms and strategies for addressing child sexual abuse material. I consider that disclosing this information could facilitate subversion of efforts to combat publication of this material and could potentially facilitate access to this material. This would be contrary to the legislative remit of eSafety and the policy intentions underpinning the *Enhancing Online Safety Act 2015* (Cth).

In these circumstances, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under sections 37(2)(b) and 37(2)(c):

Documents 2, 5, 8, 12, 14, 15, 25, 28, 29, 39, 42-44, 50, 62, 64, 69, 79, 87-89, 92, 95, 97, 99, 101, 109

Exemption – Section 45 of the FOI Act – Documents containing material obtained in confidence

Section 45(1) provides that a document is an exempt document if its disclosure under the FOI Act would found an action, by a person (other than an agency or the Commonwealth), for breach of confidence.

Section 45(1) applies to information that was communicated in circumstances giving rise to an obligation of confidence, which was mutually understood and accepted by both parties. I have considered that release of certain information contained in some of the documents within the scope of your application would threaten to breach this confidence, contrary to the objectives of the FOI Act.

In these circumstances, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 45(1):

Documents 1, 4, 5, 12, 16, 21, 27, 52, 90, 91, 99

Public interest conditional exemption – Section 47C of the FOI Act – Deliberative Processes

Section 47C(1) provides that a document is conditionally exempt if its release would disclose matter (deliberative matter) in the nature of, or relating to, opinion, advice or recommendation obtained, prepared or recorded, or consultation or deliberation that has taken place, in the course of, or for the purposes of, the deliberative processes involved in the functions of:

- (a) an agency
- (b) a Minister, or
- (c) the Government of the Commonwealth.

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain information of deliberative matter, including deliberative processes, relating to the regulatory functions, governance, administration and stakeholder relations of eSafety.

Public interest

Under section 11A of the FOI Act, access to a document covered by a conditional exemption must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest.

In considering whether to exempt the documents, I have considered and weighed factors for and against disclosure, as outlined in the Guidelines and section 11B of the FOI Act. I have considered that disclosure is generally considered to promote the objects of the FOI Act, can enhance the scrutiny of government decision making and can inform debate on matters of public importance. I have also considered that disclosure of the information may impede the ability for eSafety to deliberate and evaluate policy options and weigh up various regulatory measures that are conferred on it by the *Enhancing Online Safety Act 2015* (Cth). This may affect the ability of eSafety to operate as effectively and efficiently as possible.

Having weighed these factors and determined that release at this time would be contrary to the public interest, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 47C:

Documents 1, 2, 19, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, 29, 37-39, 46, 50, 60-63, 65, 68- 70, 73, 75, 76, 79, 82, 85, 91, 94, 95, 103-110

Public interest conditional exemption – Section 47E of the FOI Act – Certain operations of agencies

Section 47E(d) provides that a document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure would, or could reasonably be expected to, have a substantial adverse effect on the proper and efficient conduct of the operations of an agency.

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain material that, if disclosed, would result in the disclosure of information relating to the operation of eSafety's functions and the way information is shared. This includes information about our Investigative Branch, our Safety by Design work and our international policy and international relations work. Disclosing this information is likely to have a substantial adverse effect on eSafety's operations and make it more difficult for eSafety to fulfil its legislative charter and undertake its important work of protecting the community.

Public interest

Under section 11A of the FOI Act, access to a document covered by a conditional exemption must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest.

In considering whether to exempt the documents, I have considered and weighed factors for and against disclosure, as outlined in the Guidelines and section 11B of the FOI Act. I have considered that disclosure is generally considered to promote the objects of the FOI Act, can enhance the scrutiny of government decision making and can inform debate on matters of public importance. However, I have also considered that disclosure of the information would be contrary to the public interest in maintaining public safety and in eSafety operating effectively.

Having weighed these factors and determined that release at this time would be contrary to the public interest, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 47E:

Documents 32, 50, 88, 89

Public interest conditional exemption – Section 47F of the FOI Act – Personal privacy

Section 47F of the FOI Act provides that a document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure would involve the unreasonable disclosure of personal information of any person.

Personal information

'Personal information' means information or an opinion about an identified individual, or an individual who is reasonably identifiable, whether the information or opinion is true or not, and whether the information or opinion is recorded in a material form or not (see section 4 of the FOI Act and section 6 of the *Privacy Act 1988*).

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain the personal information of third parties, including names, contact details and employment details.

Unreasonable disclosure

The FOI Act states that, when deciding whether the disclosure of personal information would be 'unreasonable', I must have regard to four factors set out in section 47F(2) of the FOI Act:

1. The extent to which the information is well known
2. Whether the person to whom the information relates is known to be (or to have been) associated with the matters dealt with in the document
3. The availability of the information from publicly available resources, and
4. Any other matters that I consider relevant.

I have therefore considered each of the above four factors.

Overall, the documents contain a variety of personal information. Some of the information is private and sensitive. Some of the personal information is not well known and would only be known to a limited group of people.

In these circumstances, and in the absence of the consent of the relevant individuals, which it would not be practicable to obtain, I consider that disclosure of their personal information would be unreasonable.

Public interest

Under section 11A of the FOI Act, access to a document covered by a conditional exemption must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest.

In considering whether to exempt the documents, I have considered and weighed factors for and against disclosure, as outlined in the Guidelines and section 11B of the FOI Act. I have considered that disclosure is generally considered to promote the objects of the FOI Act, can enhance the scrutiny of government decision making and can inform debate on matters of public importance. However, I do not consider that release of personal information in these circumstances is material to the scope of your request or would materially enhance your understanding of the documents you've requested. Further, disclosure could reasonably be expected to prejudice the protection of an individual's right to privacy and to harm the interests of an individual or group of individuals.

Having weighed these factors and determined that release at this time would be contrary to the public interest, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 47F:

Documents 2, 8, 9, 13, 17, 19, 20, 23, 25, 30, 31, 33- 35, 39, 40- 42, 45, 47-51, 53- 55, 57, 58, 60, 64- 69, 72, 74, 77-81, 83, 84, 87, 93, 95, 96, 98, 100- 102, 106-108, 111

Public interest conditional exemption – Section 47G of the FOI Act – Business

Section 47G(1) of the FOI Act provides that a document is conditionally exempt if its disclosure would disclose information concerning business or professional affairs, or the business, commercial or financial affairs of an organisation, in a case in which the disclosure:

- a) would, or could reasonably be expected to, unreasonably affect a business in respect of its lawful business, commercial or financial affairs, or
- b) could reasonably be expected to prejudice the future supply of information to eSafety.

Some of the documents relevant to your request contain information about the business or professional affairs of a person or organisation.

I find that disclosure of this information would, or could reasonably be expected to, adversely affect those third parties in respect of their lawful business affairs. I further find that this would be unreasonable. The businesses who would be so affected work in the complex field of online safety and deal with sensitive, technical, confidential and law enforcement information. I have consulted with the relevant third parties and taken their consultation responses into account in coming to this view.

Furthermore, I consider that release of this information could reasonably be expected to prejudice the future supply of information to the Australian Government, as it may dissuade businesses from engaging with eSafety.

Public interest

Under section 11A of the FOI Act, access to a document covered by a conditional exemption must be given unless it would be contrary to the public interest.

In considering whether to exempt the documents, I have considered and weighed factors for and against disclosure, as outlined in the Guidelines and section 11B of the FOI Act.

I have considered that disclosure is generally considered to promote the objects of the FOI Act, can enhance the scrutiny of government decision making and can inform debate on matters of public importance. However, in my view, the factors against disclosure of the document outweigh the factors in favour of disclosure, as the release of business information that is not otherwise readily available to the public could reasonably be expected to have an adverse effect on the businesses concerned and on the capacity of eSafety to effectively fulfil its legislative remit by receiving appropriate information.

Having weighed these factors and determined that release at this time would be contrary to the public interest, I consider relevant material in the below documents is exempt from release, either wholly or in part, under section 47G:

Documents 1, 2, 4, 11, 12, 16, 21, 25, 27, 32, 37, 39, 49, 52, 64, 65, 77, 87, 90, 91, 97, 99, 106, 111

Final assessment of charges

Although your request has taken some time to process, I have decided not to impose a charge for processing your request.

Your rights of review

If you are dissatisfied with my decision, you may apply for internal review or Information Commissioner review of the decision. We encourage you to seek internal review as a first step as it may provide a more rapid resolution of your concerns.

Under section 54 of the FOI Act, you may apply in writing to enquiries@esafety.gov.au for an internal review of my decision. The internal review application must be made within 30 days of the date of this letter. Where possible, please attach reasons why you believe review of the decision is necessary. The internal review will be carried out within 30 days.

Under section 54L of the FOI Act, you may apply to the Australian Information Commissioner to review my decision. An application for review by the Information Commissioner must be made in writing within 60 days of the date of this letter, and be lodged in one of the following ways:

online: <https://forms.business.gov.au/aba/oaic/foi-review/>

email: enquiries@oaic.gov.au

post: GPO Box 2999, Canberra ACT 2601

in person: Level 3, 175 Pitt Street, Sydney NSW

More information about Information Commissioner review is available on the Office of the Australian Information Commissioner website. Relevant information in this regard is available at www.oaic.gov.au/freedom-of-information/foi-reviews.

If you have any questions in this regard, please contact me on 1800 880 176 or at enquiries@esafety.gov.au.

Yours sincerely,

Maria Vassiliadis
eSafety Commissioner
Authorised decision maker pursuant to section 23(1) of the FOI Act

Attachments

1. Schedule of documents

SCHEDULE OF DOCUMENTS

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
1	18-Sep-19	3 pages	Internal Briefing Paper for Five Country Ministerial meetings	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 45, s 47C, 47G
2	18-Sep-19	3 pages	Briefing note for Ministerial meeting with Thorn in the US	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C, s 47F, 47G
3	12-Oct-19	5 pages	Overseas travel report	Released with deletions on the basis of an exemption.	s 22
4	28-Jan-21	3 pages	File note – Meeting with Global Working Group of another organisation	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 45, 47G
5	10-May-19	64 pages	Report from International Workshop on Child Abuse	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 45
6	Oct-19	1 page	We Protect Global Alliance board membership and role	Released in full	
7	11-Dec-19	1 page	Email from Commissioner outlining launch of WePROTECT	Released in full	s 22
8	18-Aug-18	2 pages	Email between Commissioner and Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47F
9	10-Aug-18	1 page	Internal email regarding upcoming call to Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
10	2018-19	24 pages	Child Dignity Alliance: Technical Working Group Technology Inventory	Released in full	
11	Approx. 28 May	8 pages	Agenda for Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group Meeting (May)	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, 47G
12	Undated	3 pages	Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working group: Summary of May Meeting	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 45, s 47G
13	5-Jul-18	4 pages	Email chain to Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group June 2018 (attachments below: Meeting Minutes & other documents)	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
14	Undated – approx. June 2018	2 pages	Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group June 2018 Meeting document regarding issues impacting on children online	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37
15	Undated – approx. June 2018	2 pages	Attachment to email to Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group June 2018 regarding issues impacting on children online	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37
16	Undated – approx. June 2018	4 pages	Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group June 2018 Meeting Minutes	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 45, s 47G
17	7-May-18	2 pages	Email regarding Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group Terms of Reference and invitation (Christian Berg)	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
18	15-Oct-17	14 pages	World Congress: Child Dignity in the Digital World Strategic Plan Implementing the Declaration of Rome	Released in full as in public domain at this link: https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5a4d5d4e7131a5845cdd690c/t/5c3608d2032be43c62c8e732/1547045074767/Child%2BDignity%2BAlliance.Strategic%2BPlan+%286%29.pdf	
19	Undated	2 pages	Child Dignity Alliance: Technical Working Group aims and objectives.	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F
20	Undated	2 pages	Child Dignity Alliance: Technical Working Group aims and objectives	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F
21	Jun-18	3 pages	Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working group: Summary of Meeting June 2018	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 45, s 47G
22	Jun-20	19 pages	Position paper: sextortion	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
23	6-Feb-21	6 pages	Emailing outlining a contribution to All Tech is Human	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
24	31-Aug-21	2 pages	Feedback from Thorn on Safety by Design	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 47C
25	20-May-19	2 pages	Follow up on eSafety and Thorn meeting	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47F, 47G
26	22-Jun-19	2 pages	Email from Commissioner to eSafety staff member about Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
27	Undated	1 page	Technology Inventory	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 45, s 47G
28	4 – 5 July 2018	4 pages	Emails relating to work of Child Dignity Alliance	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C
29	16 – 27 April 2018	5 pages	Emails relating to work of Child Dignity Alliance	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C
30	4-Jan-19	2 pages	Email from Commissioner to employee about conference	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
31	21-Jan-20	2 pages	Commissioner sharing Thorn email with managers	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
32	23 – 26 August 2019	5 pages	Email from Commissioner and Thorn Board member	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 47E, 47G
33	21-Apr-20	2 pages	Email relating to podcast	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
34	21-Feb-21	2 pages	Twitter message that Commissioner forwards to eSafety staff	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 47F
35	6-Feb-20	2 pages	Email from Commissioner mentioning thorn to eSafety staff	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
36	12 – 14 January 2020	3 pages	Email from Home Affairs relating to Five Countries that Commissioner forwards to eSafety staff	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 33

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
37	6-Feb-20	4 pages	Email between Commissioner and Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 33, s 47C, s 47G
38	21-Sep-19	5 pages	Emails relating to Thorn engagement, Planning for multiple meetings and Five Countries Ministerial	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C
39	17-May-20	2 pages	Email regarding meeting on interception of child abuse material	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 37, s 47C, 47F, s 47G
40	2-Sep-19	3 pages	WePROTECT Global Alliance update	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
41	19-Jan-21	2 pages	Invitation to group re protecting children online	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47F
42	2-Jun-18	3 pages	Email discussing Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group - Minutes of meeting and Inventory	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47F
43	1-Jun-18	4 pages	Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working group minutes	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37
44	2-Jun-18	5 pages	Technology Working Group - Inventory 02.06.18	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37
45	5-May-18	3 pages	Email about Child Dignity Alliance Technical Working Group Terms of Reference	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
46	18-Aug-18	3 pages	Draft email to Thorn for staff review	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
47	3-Jan-20	3 pages	Emails between Commissioner and Microsoft	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
48	24-May-20	2 pages	Twitter messages and emails between Julie and Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
49	11-Dec-20	3 pages	Email about Thorn newsletter	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F, s 47G
50	11-Jan-20	2 pages	Email	Access is refused on the basis of one or	s 22, s 37, s 47C, s 47E, s 47F

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
				more specific exemptions.	
51	2-Jan-20	1 page	Email from Commissioner relating to Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
52	13-Feb-20	9 pages	Email outlining funding proposal for child abuse protection project work	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 45, s 47G
53	21-Mar-20	2 pages	Internal email discussion regarding communications on child online safety	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
54	3-Sep-18	4 pages	Email newsletter relating to AI	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
55	6-Nov-19	2 pages	Emails between Commissioner and UK Home office regarding WePROTECT Global Alliance	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
56	1-Oct-19	1 page	Document outlining WePROTECT Global Alliance Board	Released in full	
57	9-Nov-18	1 page	Email from Commissioner to Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 47F
58	Jan/Feb 2019	2 pages	Letter from Commissioner to Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
59	16-Nov-18	1 page	Attachment: Invite to launch of report	Released in full	
60	13-Jan-20	3 pages	Emails between agencies and eSafety	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C, s 47F
61	7 – 9 January 2020	5 pages	Emails between agencies and eSafety	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C
62	10 – 12 August 2018	3 pages	Emails between Commissioner and eSafety staff on call with Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C
63	13-Jul-18	2 pages	Emails between Commissioner and eSafety staff on call with Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
64	6 – 9 May 2019	3 pages	Emails between Commissioner and Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47F, s47G
65	15-May-19	5 pages	Emails discussing CDA and preparation for Thorn meeting	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F, s 47G
66	17-Sep-18	Email	6 pages	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
67	13 – 16 May 2018	3 pages	Email from CDA and Julie and Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
68	6 March 2019 – 7 May 2019	17 pages	Email between Commissioner and eSafety staff on matters including Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F
69	12 – 13 March 2019	3 pages	Emails from Commissioner to CDA TWG on tech inventory	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C, s 47F
70	4 – 7 June 2020	3 pages	Email between Commissioner and eSafety staff on matters including Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
71	6-Feb-21	5 pages	Email about Cyber Report News	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22
72	13-Aug-19	8 pages	Email about engaging with Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
73	22 January 2019 – 3 February 2019	5 pages	Email between Commissioner and eSafety staff on matters including Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
74	7-Sep-18	4 pages	Email in which Commissioner references Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
75	15 December 2019 – 3 January 2020	3 pages	Email between Commissioner and eSafety staff	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C
76	15-Dec-19	3 pages	Email between Commissioner and eSafety staff	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
77	15 December 2019 - 9 January 2020	6 pages	Emails between Commissioner and Thorn	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F, s 47G
78	8-Jan-20	5 pages	Emails between Commissioner and others	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47F
79	22-Aug-20	4 pages	Emails with Thorn regarding SbD tool	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C, s 47F
80	2-Jul-20	1 page	Emails between Commissioner and Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
81	28-Nov-18	2 pages	Email regarding identification of missing and exploited children	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
82	16-Jan-20	2 pages	Internal emails between Commissioner and staff regarding engagement another governmental entity	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C
83	14-May-20	1 page	Emails between Commissioner and body about webinar	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
84	25-Aug-18	4 pages	Multiple emails commencing with email between Commissioner and CDA working group and subsequent discussion with Thorn and with internal staff	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47F
85	15-Jan-20	2 pages	Emails between Commissioner, eSafety staff and other government agencies	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C
86	28-Jun-18	1 page	Internal eSafety emails	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
87	14-Jan-20	4 pages	Emails regarding eSafety's build of investigations management system	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37, s 47F, 47G
88	Undated	19 pages	Presentation on internal protected complaints system	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47E

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
89	Undated approx. second half 2019	1 page	Overview of protected complaints system	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47E
90	19-Nov-18	2 pages	Emails regarding technological solutions to safety issues	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 45, s 47G
91	20-May-19	3 pages	Emails from eSafety Commissioner regarding funding matters and projects	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 45, s 47C, s 47G
92	9-Nov-18	12 pages	Emails regarding Child Dignity Alliance work and Rosetta Stone Initiative	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37
93	22-Aug-18	2 pages	Email invitation to talk from Thorn	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
94	24-Jun-20	2 pages	Internal Emails Scoping potential plans	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
95	26-Mar-19	2 pages	Internal Emails regarding Technology Inventory	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47C, s 47F
96	6-Feb-20	1 page	Internal email regarding piece on eSafety/Thorn collaboration	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
97	24-Jan-20	2 pages	Internal email trail regarding meeting with Thorn and what was discussed	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 37, s 47G
98	5-Feb-20	1 page	Email regarding meeting set up	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
99	13-Feb-20	11 pages	Emails regarding proposal by another organisation to address Child Sexual Abuse Material	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 37, s 45, 47G
100	6-Jul-18	2 pages	Emails regarding UK developments in the field	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F
101	20-Aug-18	3 pages	Email trail referencing membership of Child Dignity Alliance	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 37, s 47F
102	19-Aug-19	3 pages	Email regarding new WGPA Board	Released with deletions on the	s 22, s 47F

#	Date	Size	Description	Decision	Exemptions or deletions on the grounds of relevance
				basis of one or more specific exemptions.	
103	11-Sep-18	2 pages	Internal email regarding a Child Dignity Alliance Report	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
104	Undated	18 Pages	Draft Report of Child Dignity Alliance Technology Working Group. Final document available at https://www.childdignity.com/technical-working-group-report	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 47C
105	19-Sep-19	2 pages	Internal email regarding a draft options paper	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C
106	24-Sep-20	2 pages	Internal email regarding discussion at WePROTECT meeting	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F, s 47G
107	11-Dec-20	2 pages	Emails regarding draft speech	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47C, s 47F
108	18-Oct-19	7 pages	Emails regarding WPGA Global Threat Assessment. -Please note final Threat Assessment is available for viewing at this link: Child Dignity Alliance – Technical Working Group Inventory - Draft	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 33, s 47C, s 47F
109	Undated	49 pages	Child Dignity Alliance – Technical Working Group Inventory - Draft	Access is refused on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 37, s 47C
110	Undated	49 pages	Safety by Design Paper Consultation Draft. Please note that the final version of the document is available here https://www.esafety.gov.au/sites/default/files/2019-10/LOG%207%20-Document8b.pdf	Access is refused on the basis of a specific exemption.	s 47C
111	16-Jan-20	1 page	Email of introduction between Thorn and another company	Released with deletions on the basis of one or more specific exemptions.	s 22, s 47F, s 47G