Household Surveys 2011 Redesign Project Board


MINUTES

| MINOTES | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|
| Date & Time | Thursday 1 March 2012, 2:00pm - 3:00pm | | | |
| Location | 4A 103 MCPHEE (CO) 32 5618; 10L 151 TORRENS (SA) 38 7435; WA Visitors Room (WA) 38 5273 | | | |
| Chairperson | | | | |
| Minutes | | | | |
| Invitees | | | | |
| Attendees | | | | |
| Apologies | | | | |
| cc. | | | | |

Meeting Agenda:

Key points from meeting

| # | Standing agenda Items | Action required |
|---|---|-----------------|
| 1 | Welcome and apologies | |
| | opened the meeting and apologies noted. | |
| 2 | Acceptance of minutes from last meeting | |
| | Board accepted the minutes. | |
| 3 | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |



results to the Board out of session. #2 Board Position: Due to the minimal financial savings, and the potential quality implications of relaxing the constraint, the Board agreed to retain the EPS constraint. Issue #3) Does the Board have any concerns over the slight reduction in overall sample which comes as a result of how the state accuracy targets have been set? **#3 Board Position:** The Board ratified the sample reduction, <u>subject</u> to no cataclysmic impacts on quality of key data items collected in Supps or MPHS. Issue #4) Does the Board have any concerns over the increase required to the NT sample?

